
Appendix H:

Wetland Delineation Report

Bozeman Yellowstone International Airport (BZN) **Wetland Delineation**

Prepared for:

Bozeman Yellowstone International Airport (BZN)

Prepared by:

Morrison-Maierle
2880 Technology Boulevard
Bozeman, MT 59715

Date of Issue: May 6, 2024

Project Number 0761.156

CONTENTS

1	Executive Summary	1
2	Introduction	2
2.1	Purpose and Scope	2
2.2	Background Information	2
2.3	Objectives	2
2.4	Site Description	2
2.4.1	National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)	2
2.4.2	Streams & Topography	3
2.4.3	Soils.....	4
2.4.4	Floodplains.....	4
2.5	Sampling Protocol	5
2.6	Wetland Indicators	5
2.6.1	Vegetation.....	5
2.6.2	Soil.....	5
2.6.3	Hydrology.....	5
2.7	Delineation Procedure	6
2.7.1	Problematic Conditions.....	6
3	Results.....	6
3.1	Wetland Types and Boundaries.....	6
3.1.1	Wetland A.....	7
3.1.2	Wetland B.....	7
3.1.3	Wetland C.....	7
3.1.4	Wetland D.....	8
3.1.5	Wetland E	8
3.1.6	Streams and Ditches.....	8
3.2	Upland Areas	8
3.3	Data Summary	9
3.3.1	Vegetation.....	10
3.3.2	Soil.....	11
3.3.3	Hydrology.....	12
4	Conclusion.....	13
	References.....	15

TABLES

Table 1. NWI features within the investigation area.....	3
Table 2. Soil units on the subject property.....	4
Table 3. Wetland summary.....	6
Table 4. Wetland delineation data summary table	9
Table 5. Wetland vegetation summary.....	10
Table 6. Wetland and waterways in the investigation area.....	14

FIGURES

Figure 1. Wetland hydrology indicator summary.	13
---	----

APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Figures
- Appendix B: NRCS Soil Report & Maps
- Appendix C: USACE Wetland Determination Data Forms
- Appendix D: Site Photographs

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Morrison-Maierle, Inc. delineated wetlands and other aquatic features at the Bozeman Yellowstone International Airport (BZN) and surrounding area. The approximately 1532-acre investigation area is in Belgrade, Gallatin County, Montana. A vicinity map of the investigation area is provided in Appendix A (Figure 1).

Prior to the field investigation, our environmental scientists review existing literature relevant to the project area, historical aerial photography, topographic maps, and hydrologic data. Field delineation of wetlands and other aquatic features is based on identification of hydric soil conditions, wetland hydrology, and hydrophytic vegetation. The investigation area is evaluated based on criteria set forth in the 2010 Regional Supplement to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast (Version 2.0) (Environmental Laboratory 2010).

Based on the wetland delineation presented in this report and the data collected, it is Morrison-Maierle's professional judgement that wetlands and waterways are present within the project area. **The project area contains 10.9 acres of wetlands.** Figures in Appendix A detail the investigation area and delineated features. Table 6 in Section 4 summarizes wetlands and other aquatic features delineated within the investigation area.

The USACE and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are the final authority over the jurisdictional status of both wetlands and waters of the U.S. according to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The findings discussed in this report are solely the opinion of Morrison-Maierle and have not been verified by the regulatory government agencies.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Purpose and Scope

Morrison-Maierle, Inc. delineated wetlands and other aquatic features at the Bozeman Yellowstone International Airport (the airport, or BZN) and surrounding area. Figure 1 in Appendix A shows the approximately 1,532-acre investigation area outside the city of Belgrade in Gallatin County, Montana.

2.2 Background Information

The BZN airport in the Gallatin Valley of southwest Montana, serves as a Part 139 commercial service airport and year-around gateway for two Yellowstone National Park entrances (north and west), accessed via Interstate 90 and State Highway 210. BZN also provides access to the recreation areas of Big Sky Resort and the Bridger Bowl ski area, as well as the business centers of Bozeman, Big Sky, Manhattan, Three Forks, and Livingston, and higher education at Montana State University.

Morrison-Maierle completed this wetland delineation to supplement an Environmental Assessment (EA) analyzing the potential environmental effects of the proposed Extend and Widen Runway 11-29 and Construct North General Aviation Area improvements project(s) at the airport.

The EA, prepared pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), implements NEPA regulations issued by the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Parts 1500-1508), and the Airport and Airway Improvement Act of 1982 (Public Law 97-248), as amended.

2.3 Objectives

This report documents the presence and extent of aquatic features within the investigation area.

2.4 Site Description

The investigation area includes a large, fenced area comprising runways, taxiways, aprons, hangar spaces, and undeveloped fields. The surrounding landscape includes agricultural fields, light industry, and residential properties.

2.4.1 National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)

Data from the National Wetland Inventory (NWI) depicts 56 unique wetland and waterway features, or sections of features, within the investigation area. We analyzed the NWI data with ESRI software, clipping the features to just those within the investigation area. This procedure may result in the fragmentation of wetland features, increasing the total number of features while maintaining the overall acreage unchanged. Table 1 summarizes results of our analysis.

Most NWI wetlands are narrow, and all follow surface water features, including Hyalite Creek, Dry Creek, and irrigation ditches. The "x" modifier is common within the investigation area,

indicating excavated wetlands. This classification aligns with the agricultural practices of the area, including managing surface water flows in ditches.

Table 1. NWI features within the investigation area.

Wetland Type	No. features, or feature parts	Acres
Freshwater Emergent Wetland	40	13.294
PEM1Ax	22	6.576
PEM1C	4	0.968
PEM1Ch	2	0.063
PEM1Cx	12	5.688
Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland	11	1.233
PSSA	1	0.494
PSSAx	10	0.740
Riverine	5	8.354
R3UBG	1	7.038
R4SBC	1	0.011
R5UBFx	3	1.305
Total	56	22.882

Figure 6 in Appendix A shows NWI features mapped within the investigation area.

Wetland data from the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) closely aligns with the NWI data (MNHP 2023). We reviewed MNHP wetland data during our field investigation and reporting. This report utilizes NWI data, as it represents the national standard for wetland data.

A note on data sources: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) maintains the NWI database, a publicly available resource providing detailed information on the abundance, characteristics, and distribution of U.S. Wetlands. The NWI database is based on a model that predicts the presence of wetlands from various parameters and does not necessarily reflect ground conditions.

The MNHP Wetland and Riparian Mapping Center provides a comprehensive statewide digital layer of wetlands and riparian areas within Montana's Spatial Data Infrastructure (MSDI). This mapping initiative adheres to USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) standards and incorporates descriptors to characterize hydrogeomorphic features, providing valuable information for wetland function identification. Like the NWI, the MNHP wetland layer is based on a model that predicts the presence of wetlands from various parameters and does not necessarily reflect ground conditions.

2.4.2 Streams & Topography

The property ranges in elevation between 4,395 and 4,500 feet above sea level; and is depicted on the Belgrade, Montana (2020) U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute topographic map (USGS 2020) (Google Earth Pro 2024). This map shows Hyalite Creek and Dry Creek intersecting the investigation area on the eastern side, flowing northwards. The Spain Ferris Ditch, Mammoth

Ditch, and Weaver Ditch also transect the investigation area, flowing northwards through the airport. Wetland or riparian areas are indicated around Dry and Hyalite creeks (USGS 2020).

Note: The FEMA map and ESRI basemap label this feature "Middle Creek." The definitive data sources analyzed, including USGS Topographic maps and the MSDI hydrology dataset label this feature Hyalite Creek.

2.4.3 Soils

There are 10 soil units, or complexes mapped by the Natural Resources and Conservation Service (NRCS) soil database on the subject property. Of these, two have a partial hydric rating. Hydric ratings are presented as a percentage of hydric components. Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric soils as:

"Soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, 1994). Under natural conditions, these soils are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation."

The mapped soil types on the subject property are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Soil units on the subject property

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Hydric Rating	Percent of Subject Property
33B	Attewan clay loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0	10%
241A	Beaverell cobbly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	21%
41A	Beaverell loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	7%
741A	Beaverell-Beavwan complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	44%
43A	Beavwan loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	2%
509B	Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	10	2%
511A	Fairway silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	10	0%
64B	Straw loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0	1%
307A	Sudworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	12%
57B	Turner loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0	<1%
Total			100%

A custom soil report and hydric rating by map unit for the investigation area, from the NRCS Web Soil Survey, is provided in Appendix B. Figure 5 in Appendix A depicts mapped soil units in the investigation area.

2.4.4 Floodplains

The subject property is located within the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Panel 30031C0595D with an effective date of September 2, 2011. A small area bordering Hyalite Creek on a northeast corner of the investigation area is within the 100-year floodplain; the rest of the investigation area is not within the 100-year floodplain (FEMA 2011). A FEMA map of the investigation area is provided in Appendix A (Figure 9).

Note: The FEMA map labels this section of Hyalite Creek "Middle Creek." Most data sources analyzed, including USGS Topographic maps and the MSDI hydrology dataset label this feature Hyalite Creek.

2.5 Sampling Protocol

This wetland delineation utilizes the methodology presented in the 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Wetlands Delineation Manual (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and subsequent modifications outlined in the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast (Version 2.0) (Environmental Laboratory 2010). The methodology includes sampling procedures for vegetation, hydrology, and soil parameters.

2.6 Wetland Indicators

2.6.1 Vegetation

Vegetation at upland and wetland data points is classified based on wetland indicator status. The indicator status of vegetation is derived from the USACE 2020 National Wetlands Plant List (NWPL). Using the current plant list, vegetation cover qualified as hydrophytic where over 50% of the dominant plant species had an indicator status of obligate (OBL), facultative wet (FACW), and/or facultative (FAC). FAC plants, such as Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), are equally likely to occur in wetlands and non-wetlands. Vegetation cover was considered as upland where over 50% of the dominant plant species were classified as upland (UPL), and/or facultative upland (FACU). Plants observed within each data plot were identified using Montana Manual of Vascular Plants (Lesica 2012). Vegetation nomenclature follows USACE NWPL (2020) and Lesica (2012).

2.6.2 Soil

Wetlands must meet the qualifications of at least one hydric soil indicator or meet the definition of a hydric soil (a soil that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (NRCS 2019a)). Soils at each data point were evaluated and described noting the depth, matrix color, mottle abundance and contrast (if present), texture, etc. (Environmental Laboratory, 1987 and 2010). Moist matrix color and moist mottle color of the soils were determined utilizing the Munsell Soil Color Chart (Kollmorgan Instruments Corporation, 2009).

2.6.3 Hydrology

Primary and secondary hydrologic indicators were assessed at each data point; one primary indicator or two secondary indicators are required to qualify the area as containing wetland hydrology. Examples of primary hydrology indicators are saturation within 12 inches of the ground surface, surface water, and water table within 12 inches of the ground surface. Examples of secondary hydrology indicators are FAC-neutral test and geomorphic position on the landscape.

2.7 Delineation Procedure

Upland data points (UDP) and wetland data points (WDP) are established as required during the field investigation. Vegetation, hydrology, and soils data is collected in the field according to protocols established by the USACE and recorded on USACE Wetland Determination Data Forms (Environmental Laboratory 1987). Photographs are taken at each data point. Sample points are collected with a handheld GPS device. Wetland boundaries, if present, are flagged by the environmental scientist and surveyed by a licensed surveyor. Data is postprocessed in ArcMap to create maps and perform calculations.

2.7.1 Problematic Conditions

Problematic wetland conditions exist when an area is lacking one of the three wetland criteria. For instance, problematic hydrophytic vegetation exists where disturbance, seasonal or annual variability, or permanent changes alters the plant community. This includes changes from grazing, farming, or mowing. This delineation follows methods described in Chapter 3 of the 2008 Regional Supplement to USACE 1987 Delineation Manual, such that lacking one of three criteria does not exclude the aquatic resource from being a wetland.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Wetland Types and Boundaries

We delineated 10.9 acres of wetlands within the investigation area. Delineated wetlands are grouped into classes by hydrology source, due to the extent of the investigation area. See Figure 7 for a wetland delineation map of the investigation area, or available online [here](#).

Table 3. Wetland summary.

Name	Cowardin Classification		Surface water connection	Description	Acres
	Type	Code			
Wetland A					0.259
A1	Riverine, upper perennial	R3UBG	Hyalite Creek	Pockets and fringe around creek	0.017
A2	Riverine, upper perennial	R3UBG			0.162
A3	Riparian forested	FP1FO			0.069
A4	Riverine, upper perennial	R3UBG			0.010
Wetland B					7.928
B1	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Ax	Dry Creek	Pockets and fringe around creek, within temporarily flooded areas	0.915
B2	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Ax			0.733
B3	Freshwater emergent & scrub-shrub/forested wetland	PEM1Ax/ PSS1A/ PFO1A			4.016
B4	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Ax			2.264
Wetland C					1.936
C1	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Ah	Spain Ferris Fork Ditch		0.519
C2	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Ah			0.271

Name	Cowardin Classification		Surface water connection	Description	Acres
	Type	Code			
C3	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Cx		Within temporarily flooded areas/leaking from ditch, ditch fringe	1.146
Wetland D	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Cx	Dry Creek/Spain Ferris Fork Ditch	Fringe	0.529
Wetland E	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Cx	Mammoth Ditch	Fringe	0.257
Total					10.909

3.1.1 Wetland A

Landscape position, vegetation patterns, and loamy mucky mineral soils characterize Wetland A, a series of four wetland areas bordering Hyalite Creek on the eastern edge of the investigation area. These lower riverine wetlands (R3UBG) are seasonally flooded by Hyalite Creek, with plants at least partially rooted in the hyporheic zone. Trees are present within some wetland areas, denoted with a FP1FO classification (Cowardin et. al., 1976).

3.1.2 Wetland B

Wetland B, the largest of the wetland groups, follows Dry Creek intermittently throughout the investigation area. Wetland areas are generally constrained by shallow depressions around the creek, with surface water and/or saturation common.

One wetland section (B4) follows flooding from Dry Creek and is likely only seasonally saturated. Disturbed vegetation at B4 from mowing complicated the delineation between upland and wetland areas. We delineated based on changes in vegetation pattern that coincided with exclusionary agricultural practices observed on historic aerial imagery (Google Earth 2024).

The freshwater emergent wetlands (PEM) in this area are subject to temporary flooding, denoted by an "A" modifier (Table 3). Additionally, many of these wetlands feature an "x" modifier, signifying past excavation (Cowardin et. al., 1976). Excavation aligns with the agricultural practices in the vicinity of Dry Creek, where surface water is often channelized through, or around, fields.

3.1.3 Wetland C

Wetland C follows the spread of leaking water from two points in the Spain Ferris Fork ditch (C1 and C2), and fringe along the ditch itself (C3). Dominated by FAC species, this wetland exhibits a clear delineation between the upland and wetland areas, particularly evident in its interface with surrounding hayfields. Saturation at C1 and C2 is evident on the historic aerial imagery beginning in 2014 (Google Earth 2024).

A temporarily flooded water regime characterizes these freshwater emergent wetlands (PEM), identified by an "A" modifier (Table 3). Every subsection within wetland C exhibits either excavation (x) or impoundment (h) (Cowardin et. al., 1976).

3.1.4 Wetland D

Wetland D borders a stream/ditch section where the Spain Ferris Fork Ditch and Dry Creek flow within the same channel. Situated between Wetland B1 and B2, this wetland is defined by its proximity to human-altered water sources and interaction with agricultural runoff dynamics. The PEM1Cx classification represents a seasonally flooded, excavated, freshwater emergent wetland (Cowardin et. al., 1976).

3.1.5 Wetland E

Wetland E borders Mammoth Ditch on the western part of the investigation area. The surrounding field makes the boundary between upland and wetland fringe distinct. The PEM1Cx classification represents a seasonally flooded, excavated, freshwater emergent wetland (Cowardin et. al., 1976).

3.1.6 Streams and Ditches

We delineated the following waterways within the investigation area. The extent of these features within the investigation area are summarized in Table 5 (Section 4). See Figure 7 for a map of all delineated features (Appendix A).

- **Hyalite Creek** bounds much of the eastern edge of the investigation area. The channel meanders northwards, with a well-defined bed and bank generally 20-30 feet wide. Large trees with brushy understory border the creek.
- **Dry Creek** meanders through the center of the investigation area in a northward's direction. The creek crosses underneath Airport Road into a fenced airport zone to join the Spain Ferris Fork Ditch for approximately 2,868 feet before leaving the ditch channel at a headgate. Dry Creek becomes brushy, bordered by trees and shrubs, north of Airport Road. The bed and bank are well-defined throughout the investigation area, despite localized flooding.
- **Spain Ferris Fork Ditch** flows multiple directions through the airport area. Partial realignment of sections of the ditch is not yet reflected in USGS maps.
- **Spain Ferris Fork Ditch & Dry Creek** (same channel) flows northwards in a narrow channel for approximately 2,868 feet before leaving the ditch channel at a headgate south of Airport Road.
- **Mammoth Ditch** flows northwards in the western zone of the investigation area. Although not flowing at the time of investigation area, facultative vegetation bordering the ditch indicates recent wet conditions.

3.2 Upland Areas

Upland zones vary across the investigation area, but can be categorized into the following categories:

- **Light Industrial** areas encompassing airport runways, taxiways, aprons, hangar spaces, and a former gravel pit.
- **Agricultural** fields, predominantly cultivated for hay, comprise a significant portion of the outer investigation area. Ditches often transect or border these upland areas.

- **Residential** zones located near Dry Creek and the central investigation area, encompassing areas previously used for residential purposes.

Most upland areas are marked by the prevalence of graminoid and weed species and lack of wetland hydrology. These environments provide habitat for various small mammals, birds, insects, and some ungulates. Delineation of upland boundaries followed vegetation patterns and proximity to hydrology, as well as changes in hydric soil conditions.

3.3 Data Summary

The vegetation, hydrology, and soil characteristics at each of 41 data points were documented in the field and recorded on USACE Wetland Determination Data Forms for the Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast (USACE 2023). See Table 4 for a summary of collected data. All data points are labeled on Figure 7, in Appendix A; Appendix C contains PDFs of the USACE data forms.

Table 4. Wetland delineation data summary table

Feature	Data point ID	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicator(s)	Hydric Soil Indicator(s)	Hydrology Indicator(s)
Wetland A1	WDP2	Rapid test, Prevalence index is ≤ 3.0	S5	B10*, D2*
	UDP5	None	None	None
Wetland A2	WDP1	Rapid test, Dominance test is $> 50\%$, Prevalence index is ≤ 3.0	F1	B3, D2*, D5*
	WDP9	Rapid test, Dominance test is $> 50\%$, Prevalence index is ≤ 3.0	F1	B3, D2*, D5*
	UDP2	None	None	None
	UDP21	None	None	None
Wetland A3	WDP17	Rapid test, Dominance test is $> 50\%$, Prevalence index is ≤ 3.0	F1	B3, D2*, D5*
	UDP22	None	None	None
Wetland A4	WDP14	Dominance test is $> 50\%$, Prevalence index is ≤ 3.0	A4, F6	A3, C1, D2*, D5*
	UDP18	None	None	None
Wetland B1	WDP3	Rapid test, Dominance test is $> 50\%$	F1	A1, A2, D2*, D7*
	UDP6	None	None	None
Wetland B2	WDP5	Prevalence index is ≤ 3.0	F1	A1, A2, A3, D2*
	WDP6	Dominance test is $> 50\%$	A11	B10*, D2*
	UDP8	None	None	None
Wetland B3	WDP7	Dominance test is $> 50\%$	F3, F6	B10*, D2*, D7*
	WDP8	Dominance test is $> 50\%$, Prevalence index is ≤ 3.0	F3, F6	B10*, D2*, D7*
	UDP9	None	None	None
	UDP10	None	None	None
Wetland B4	WDP4	Rapid test	F1	A1, D2*, D7*
	WDP10	NA - Problematic vegetation	F6	A1, C9*
	WDP11	NA - Problematic vegetation	F6	A1, C9*
	UDP7	None	None	None

Feature	Data point ID	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicator(s)	Hydric Soil Indicator(s)	Hydrology Indicator(s)
	UDP12	None	None	None
Wetland C1	WDP12	Dominance test is >50%, Prevalence index is ≤3.0	F6	B10*, D2*, D7*
	UDP13	None	None	None
Wetland C2	WDP13	Dominance test is >50%, Prevalence index is ≤3.0	F6	B10*, D2*, D7*
	UDP14	None	None	None
Wetland C3	WDP18	Dominance test is >50%, Prevalence index is ≤3.0	A4, F1	A2, A3, C1, D5*
	UDP23	None	None	None
Wetland D	WDP15	Dominance test is >50%, Prevalence index is ≤3.0	A4, F1	A2, A3, C1, D5*
	UDP19	None	None	None
Wetland E	WDP16	Dominance test is >50%, Prevalence index is ≤3.0	A4, F1	A2, A3, C1, D5*
	UDP20	None	None	None
None - along Hyalite Creek	UDP1	None	None	D2*
	UDP3	None	None	B10*, D2*
	UDP4	None	None	None
	UDP16	Dominance test is >50%	None	D5*
	UDP15	None	None	None
	UDP17	None	None	None
None - dry ditch	UDP11	None	None	B10*

*Secondary indicator

3.3.1 Vegetation

Vegetation communities are evaluated and documented to delineate wetland and upland boundaries, where existing. **All wetland data points meet at least one criterion for hydrophytic vegetation;** most wetland data points pass the Dominance Test and Prevalence Index (Table 4). Table 5 summarizes the dominant wetland species in each wetland group.

Table 5. Wetland vegetation summary.

Wetland	Dominant hydrophytic species	Scientific name	Indicator status
A	Reed canary grass	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	FACW
	Small fruited bulrush	<i>Scirpus microcarpus</i>	OBL
	Western wheatgrass	<i>Elymus smithii</i>	FACU
	Meadow foxtail	<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>	FAC
B	Meadow foxtail	<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>	FAC
	Western wheatgrass	<i>Elymus smithii</i>	FACU
	Wood's Rose	<i>Rosa woodsii</i>	FACU
	Common snowberry	<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>	FACU
	Reed canary grass	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	FACW
	Common nettle	<i>Urtica dioica</i>	FAC
	Tall whitetop	<i>Lepidium latifolium</i>	FAC
	Eastern cottonwood	<i>Populus deltoides</i>	FAC
	Booth's willow	<i>Salix boothii</i>	FACW

C	Meadow foxtail	<i>Alopecurus pratensis</i>	FAC
	Reed canary grass	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	FACW
D	Reed canary grass	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	FACW
E	Reed canary grass	<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	FACW

Most wetland plant species in the investigation area are **facultative** (FAC), suggesting that soils are saturated for only portions of the growing season. The dominance of facultative wetland vegetation also indicates that plant species within these wetlands are adapted to a range of moisture conditions, allowing them to grow in both wetland and upland environments.

Dominant **upland** vegetation includes:

- Western wheatgrass (*Elymus smithii*) (FACU)
- Smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*) (UPL)
- Crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum*) (UPL)
- Intermediate wheatgrass (*Elymus trachycaulus*) (FAC)
- Wood's rose (*Rosa woodsii*) (FACU)
- Common dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*) (FACU)
- Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) (UPL)
- Common tansy (*Tanacetum vulgare*) (UPL)

Excepting UDP16, **no upland data points met hydrophytic vegetation requirements**. UDP16 failed to evidence hydric soil or wetland hydrology.

3.3.2 Soil

3.3.2.1. Summary

The soil analysis conducted in the field utilized the Munsell Soil Color Charts for texture and color assessment (Munsell 2009). Observation of hydric soil indicators is described in Section 3.2.2.

Wetland A soils along Hyalite Creek display dark colors ranging between 7.5YR and 10YR, with granular loam textures and various hydric soil indicators such as loamy mucky mineral (F1) and sandy redox (S5). Wetland B soils predominantly feature loamy mucky mineral (F1) composition, and Wetland C soils vary depending on location, most meeting redox dark surface (F6) requirements. Wetland D and E soils exhibit mineral mucky loam textures with hydric soil indicators. Upland areas lack hydric soil indicators, showcasing a dark-colored, granular loam soil profile common in the Gallatin Valley.

3.3.2.2. Detailed Analysis of Wetland Soils

Soils within **Wetland A** meet multiple hydric soil indicators, depending on location along Hyalite Creek. Colors are generally dark, ranging between 7.5YR and 10YR 2/2, 3/2, 3/4, and 4/4. Granular loam textures, with many fine roots in the upper 4-6 inches are common. Sandy loam texture paired with high rock content was observed in frequently flooded area(s). Hydric soil indicators observed within Wetland A include loamy mucky mineral (F1), sandy redox (S5), hydrogen sulfide (A4), and redox dark surface (F6).

Wetland B soils predominantly feature loamy mucky mineral (F1) composition in the upper soil profile, displaying colors of 10YR 2/1 and 2/2, transitioning to 10YR 3/3 at lower depths. In a few soil pits, we observed granular loam textures, with colors of 7.5YR 2.5/2 in the upper 4-8 inches, shifting to 10YR 4/2 at lower depths interspersed with a reduced matrix of 10YR 5/6. Some pits exhibit up to 37% redox concentrations, colored 7.5YR 2.5/1 within the reduced matrix.

Soils within **Wetland C** vary depending on the wetland location. The wetland fringe along Spain Ferris Fork Ditch exhibits a mineral mucky loam (10YR 4/2) in the upper six inches, transitioning to a granular loam of the same color at lower depths. Soils within the flooded hay field wetlands (C1 and C2) are granular loams colored 10YR 2/2, with increased compaction with depth. These soils meet redox dark surface (F6) hydric soil requirements, exhibiting 6% prominent redox concentrations (7.5YR 4/4) from 4-16 inches.

Wetland D fringes a stream/ditch section where the Spain Ferris Fork Ditch and Dry Creek flow within the same channel. We observed saturated soils within the wetland fringe, emitting a strong hydrogen sulfide odor. The upper five inches of the profile exhibit mineral mucky loam texture, meeting F1 and A4 hydric soil indicators.

Wetland E, fringing Mammoth Ditch exhibits mineral mucky loam texture in the upper six inches of the profile, meeting the F1 hydric soil indicator.

No hydric soil indicators are observed **at upland data points**. Upland soil colors varied, most often exhibiting 7.5YR or 10YR 3/2 or 3/3. Textures are predominantly loam, with granular structure and many fine roots in the upper 4-8 inches. Soils with dark colors and granular loam characteristics are common in the Gallatin Valley.

3.3.3 Hydrology

Wetland areas met multiple hydrology indicators depending on the area, whereas upland areas exhibited few to no hydrology indicators (Table 4). The top four hydrology indicators observed within the investigation area are:

- Geomorphic position (D2*)
- Drainage patterns (B10*)
- FAC-Neutral test (D5*)
- Surface water (A1)

Most observed is a combination of secondary indicators and/or primary indicators, such as geomorphic position (D2*) and drift deposits (B3). Figure 1 presents the frequency of wetland hydrology observed within the investigation area.




Figure 1. Wetland hydrology indicator summary.

*Secondary indicator

Wetland hydrology sources from the surface water features are described in Section 3.1.6. In general, streams flow perennially with the seasonal fluctuation common in the mountain west. Whereas water levels in irrigation ditches changes based on human-controlled factors such as irrigation schedules and demand. These hydrological differences contribute to the varied wetland conditions observed within the investigation area.

4 CONCLUSION

Morrison-Maierle's wetland delineation at the Bozeman Yellowstone International Airport and its surroundings determined that **the investigation area contains 10.9 acres of wetlands**. The investigation area also contains approximately **24,795.7 linear feet of stream** or stream/ditch, and 9,036.9 linear feet of active/in-use irrigation ditches. All wetlands are linked to surface water features including Hyalite Creek, Dry Creek, Spain Ferris Fork Ditch, and Mammoth Ditch.

This delineation applies the 2010 Regional Supplement to the US Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual, considering criteria related to hydrology, vegetation, and soil conditions. **See Figure 7 for a map of delineated features**, including data points, or online [here](#) (Appendix A). Table 6 summarizes the features delineated within the project area. Photos of the investigation area and delineated features are provided in Appendix D.

Table 6. Wetland and waterways in the investigation area.

Feature ID	Wetland Type (Cowardin)	Wetland Type (HGM)	Acres	Linear Feet
Wetland A	Riverine	F3UBG & FP1FO	0.259	NA
Wetland B	Freshwater emergent /scrub-shrub/forested wetland	PEM1Ax & PSS1A/PFO1A	7.928	NA
Wetland C	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Ah & PEM1Cx	1.936	NA
Wetland D	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Cx	0.529	NA
Wetland E	Freshwater emergent wetland	PEM1Cx	0.257	NA
Hyalite Creek	Riverine	R3UBG	NA	17,680.6
Dry Creek	Riverine	R3UBG	NA	4,247.1
Dry Creek/Spain Ferris Fork Ditch	Riverine/NA (ditch)	R3UBG/NA	NA	2,868.0
Spain Ferris Fork Ditch	NA (ditch)	NA	NA	15,285.7
Mammoth Ditch	NA (ditch)	NA	NA	2,788.0
Total waterway linear feet:			42,869.4	
Total wetland acres:			10.909	

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are the final authority over the jurisdictional status of both wetlands and waters of the U.S. per Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The findings discussed in this report are solely the opinion of Morrison-Maierle and have not yet been verified by the aforementioned regulatory government agencies.


REFERENCES


- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. FWS/OBS-79/31. US Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington, D.C.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, MS.
- Environmental Laboratory. 2010. Regional Supplement to the Corp of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast. (Version 2.0) U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Environmental Laboratory. Vicksburg, MS.
- Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). 2024. FEMA Map Service Center. <https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search>
- Google Earth Pro. 2024. Retrieved September, October, November, and December 2023 and January 2024.
- Kollmorgan Instruments Corporation. 2009. Munsell Soil Color Charts. Macbeth Division, New Windsor, NY.
- Lesica, P. 2012. Manual of Montana Vascular Plants. Brit Press. Fort Worth, Texas.
- Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP). Montana Wetland and Riparian Framework. File Geodatabase Feature Class. Accessed December 2023. Scale Range Maximum: 1:5,000, Minimum: 1:150,000,000.
- Montana Spatial Data Infrastructure (MSDI). 2021. Imagery. Natural Color and Infrared. Accessed January 2024. Available at <https://msl.mt.gov/geoinfo/msdi/orthoimagery/>
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2019. Hydric Soils Definition. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/use/hydric/?cid=nrcs142p2_053961
- Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). 2024. Web Soil Survey. Accessed January 2024. <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx>.
- US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2020. National Wetland Plant List 2020. <http://wetland-plants.usace.army.mil/>
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). 2023. Wetland Determination Data Sheet – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region, Version 2.0. OBM Control # 0710-0024, Expires 04/30/2024. Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR335-15, paragraph 5-2a). ENG Forms 6116-6, November 2023.
- US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) 2024. National Wetland Inventory Wetlands Mapper. <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html>
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2020. Belgrade, Montana, 7.5-minute Series Topographic Quadrangle Map. Accessed January 2024.


APPENDIX A: FIGURES

An online web map of the wetland delineation is available at:

https://services3.arcgis.com/ALM0UX1HannmhUMV/arcgis/rest/services/BZN_Wetland_Delineation_2023_24_view/FeatureServer








LEGEND


PROJECT AREA

STREAMS AND RIVERS

MAMMOTH DITCH

SPAIN FERRIS FORK DITCH





LEGEND

PROJECT AREA

STREAMS AND RIVERS

DRY CREEK

EAST GALLATIN RIVER

HYALITE CREEK


SPAIN FERRIS FORK DITCH

THOMPSON CREEK

N

00.050.10.2

Miles



LEGEND

PROJECT AREA


STREAMS AND RIVERS



BAXTER CREEK

DRY CREEK

HYALITE CREEK

SPAIN FERRIS FORK DITCH





LEGEND


- PROJECT AREA



WEB SOIL SURVEY

241A - BEAVERELL COBBLY LOAM

33B - ATTEWAN CLAY LOAM

341A - BEAVERELL-BEAVWAN LOAMS, MODERATELY WET
- 41A - BEAVERELL LOAM
- 43A - BEAVWAN LOAM
- 510B - MEADOWCREEK LOAM
- 741A - BEAVERELL-BEAVWAN COMPLEX
- W - WATER





LEGEND


PROJECT AREA


WEB SOIL SURVEY

- 241A - BEAVERELL COBBLY LOAM
- 33B - ATTEWAN CLAY LOAM
- 341A - BEAVERELL-BEAVWAN LOAMS, MODERATELY WET

- 364B - STRAW SILTY CLAY LOAM
- 407A - SUDWORTH-NESDA LOAMS
- 509B - ENBAR LOAM
- 510B - MEADOWCREEK LOAM
- 511A - FAIRWAY SILT LOAM
- 522A - ENBAR CLAY LOAM

- 540A - TETONVIEW-NEWTMAN COMPLEX
- 556A - THREEERIV-BONEBASIN LOAMS
- 606A - BANDY-RIVERWASH-BONEBASIN COMPLEX
- 64B - STRAW LOAM
- 741A - BEAVERELL-BEAVWAN COMPLEX






LEGEND



PROJECT AREA

WEB SOIL SURVEY

- 241A - BEAVERELL COBBLY LOAM
- 249A - BEAVERTON COBBLY CLAY LOAM
- 307A - SUDWORTH SILTY CLAY LOAM

- 33B - ATTEWAN CLAY LOAM
- 364B - STRAW SILTY CLAY LOAM
- 407A - SUDWORTH-NESDA LOAMS
- 448A - HYALITE-BEAVERTON COMPLEX, MODERATELY WET
- 509B - ENBAR LOAM
- 57B - TURNER LOAM
- 606A - BANDY-RIVERWASH-BONEBASIN COMPLEX
- 64B - STRAW LOAM
- 741A - BEAVERELL-BEAVWAN COMPLEX
- 748A - HYALITE-BEAVERTON COMPLEX





LEGEND

PROJECT AREA

FENCES

LIMITED ACCESS AREA

STREAMS AND RIVERS



MAMMOTH DITCH

SPAIN FERRIS FORK DITCH

FRESHWATER EMERGENT WETLAND

 engineers • surveyors • planners • scientists	2880 Technology Blvd. W Bozeman, MT 59718 Phone: (406) 587-0721 COPYRIGHT © MORRISON-MAIERLE, INC., 2023	DRAWN BY: <u>AAA</u> CHK'D BY: <u>BBB</u> APPR. BY: <u>CCC</u> DATE: <u>10/2023</u>	GALLATIN CO. NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY MAP MT		PROJECT NO. 0761.156
			NORTH SIDE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT		FIGURE NO. FIG. 6

Page 2 of 4



LEGEND

PROJECT AREA

FENCES

LIMITED ACCESS AREA

STREAMS AND RIVERS

DRY CREEK

EAST GALLATIN RIVER

HYALITE CREEK

SPAIN FERRIS FORK DITCH

THOMPSON CREEK


WETLAND TYPE



FRESHWATER EMERGENT WETLAND

FRESHWATER FORESTED/ SHRUB WETLAND

FRESHWATER POND

RIVERINE





LEGEND

PROJECT AREA

FENCES

LIMITED ACCESS AREA

STREAMS AND RIVERS

BAXTER CREEK

DRY CREEK

HYALITE CREEK

SPAIN FERRIS FORK DITCH


WETLAND TYPE


FRESHWATER EMERGENT WETLAND

FRESHWATER FORESTED/SHRUB WETLAND

FRESHWATER POND


RIVERINE






Legend

- Investigation area
- Wetland
- Waterways**
 - ~ Stream
 - Stream/Ditch
 - Ditch
 - Ditch (disused)
 - Other
- Data points**
 - Upland
 - Wetland






- Legend**
- Investigation area
 - Wetland
 - Waterways
 - Stream
 - Stream/Ditch
 - Ditch
 - Ditch (disused)
 - Other
 - Data points
 - Upland
 - Wetland

0 0.13 0.25 0.5 Miles





Legend

Investigation area

Wetland

Waterways

Stream

Stream/Ditch

Ditch


Ditch (disused)

Other

Data points

Upland

Wetland



APPENDIX B: USACE WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORMS

A photograph of a wetland area. In the foreground, there is a dense field of tall grasses, some green and some yellowed. A small stream or pond is visible in the middle ground, partially obscured by the grass. In the background, there are more trees and a cloudy sky. A white box with black text is overlaid on the upper part of the image.

Wetland A
A1, A2, A3, & A4, along Hyalite Creek

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																
Applicant/Owner: _____		State: <u>MT</u> Sampling Point: <u>WDP1</u>																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u>		Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Creek bank</u>		Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u> Slope (%): <u>5</u>																
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u> Lat: <u>45.76354278</u>		Long: <u>-111.127022839</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u>		NWI classification: <u>R3UB (River)</u>																
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																
Remarks: Small wetland nestled against creek																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ =Total Cover		Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ 5. _____ =Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u> 40 Yes FACW 2. <u>Scirpus microcarpus</u> 20 Yes OBL 3. _____ 4. _____ 5. _____ 6. _____ 7. _____ 8. _____ 9. _____ 10. _____ 11. _____ 60 =Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species 20</td> <td>x 1 = 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species 40</td> <td>x 2 = 80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species 0</td> <td>x 3 = 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species 0</td> <td>x 4 = 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species 0</td> <td>x 5 = 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: 60 (A)</td> <td>100 (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.67</td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species 20	x 1 = 20	FACW species 40	x 2 = 80	FAC species 0	x 3 = 0	FACU species 0	x 4 = 0	UPL species 0	x 5 = 0	Column Totals: 60 (A)	100 (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.67	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																	
OBL species 20	x 1 = 20																	
FACW species 40	x 2 = 80																	
FAC species 0	x 3 = 0																	
FACU species 0	x 4 = 0																	
UPL species 0	x 5 = 0																	
Column Totals: 60 (A)	100 (B)																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = 1.67																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: X <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation X <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% X <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
Remarks:																		

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-5	7.5YR 3/2						Mucky Loam/Clay	loam, high organic matter, many fine roots
5-8	7.5YR 3/2						Loamy/Clayey	loam, high organic matter
8-16	7.5YR 3/2	90					Loamy/Clayey	Mixed colors in this layer
	7.5YR 4/4	10						

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☒ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks: _____

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☒ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available: _____

Remarks: _____
Small wetland nestled against creek

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																																																																																																																																																																																																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u> City/County: <u>Gallatin</u> Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u> State: <u>MT</u> Sampling Point: <u>WDP2</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Creek bank</u> Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u> Slope (%): <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u> Lat: <u>45.7679826</u> Long: <u>-111.12676032</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u> NWI classification: <u>None</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 5px;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> </tr> </table>			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																														
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Remarks: <u>In bend, between stream and shrubs</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 35%;">Tree Stratum</th> <th style="width: 15%;">(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Absolute % Cover</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Dominant Species?</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Indicator Status</th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td rowspan="5"> Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50.0%</u> (A/B) </td> </tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="5"> Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 60%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>140</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>260</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.60</u></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Herb Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="12"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: X <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% X <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations¹(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td><u>Phalaris arundinacea</u></td><td><u>70</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACW</u></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td><u>Elymus smithii</u></td><td><u>30</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>6.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>7.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>9.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>10.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>11.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">100 =Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Woody Vine Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="3"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6" style="padding: 5px;"> % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6" style="padding: 5px;"> Remarks: <u>Grazed vegetation, was able to identify regardless</u> </td> </tr> </table>			Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status		1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50.0%</u> (A/B)	2.					3.					4.												=Total Cover				Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 60%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>140</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>260</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.60</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>70</u>	x 2 = <u>140</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>260</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.60</u>		1.					2.					3.					4.					5.							=Total Cover				Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: X <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% X <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	2.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	3.					4.					5.					6.					7.					8.					9.					10.					11.							100 =Total Cover				Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	1.					2.							=Total Cover				% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>						Remarks: <u>Grazed vegetation, was able to identify regardless</u>					
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																																																																																																																																																																																														
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50.0%</u> (A/B)																																																																																																																																																																																													
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 60%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>140</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>260</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.60</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>70</u>	x 2 = <u>140</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>260</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.60</u>																																																																																																																																																																														
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																																																																																																																																																																																																	
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACW species <u>70</u>	x 2 = <u>140</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>260</u> (B)																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.60</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: X <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% X <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
2.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
6.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
7.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
8.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
9.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
10.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
11.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		100 =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Remarks: <u>Grazed vegetation, was able to identify regardless</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		

ENG FORM 6116-9, JUL 2018

Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast – Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-8	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam, granular
8-16	10YR 3/2	97	10YR 6/8	2	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	Sandy silt loam, two redox colors
			5YR 3/4	1	C	PL/M		

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☒ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: Meets sandy redox hydric soil indicator	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
Remarks: Near creek	

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>WDP9</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Creek bank</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>5</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7629181</u>	Long: <u>-111.127121599</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u>		NWI classification: <u>R3UB (River)</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: Wetland A	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>22</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>22</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>38</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>76</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)</td> <td><u>98</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.63</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>22</u>	x 1 = <u>22</u>	FACW species <u>38</u>	x 2 = <u>76</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>98</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.63</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>22</u>	x 1 = <u>22</u>																				
FACW species <u>38</u>	x 2 = <u>76</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																				
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A)	<u>98</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.63</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ☒ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ☒ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ☒ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ☐ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ☐ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ ☐ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2.	<u>Scirpus microcarpus</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>OBL</u>																	
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>60</u>	=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP9

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	7.5YR 3/2						Mucky Loam/Clay	loam, high organic matter, many fine roots
6-8	7.5YR 3/2						Loamy/Clayey	loam, high organic matter
8-16	7.5YR 3/2	90					Loamy/Clayey	Mixed colors in this layer
	7.5YR 4/4	10						

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☒ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks:
Meets F1 indicator in the upper 6"

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☒ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Small wetland nestled against creek

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>WDP14</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>0</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7563067099999</u>	Long: <u>-111.13032108</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: Near creek.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>65</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>195</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>295</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.95</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>	FAC species <u>65</u>	x 3 = <u>195</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>295</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.95</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>																				
FAC species <u>65</u>	x 3 = <u>195</u>																				
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																				
UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>295</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.95</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
2.	<u>Equisetum arvense</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
4.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
5.	<u>Elymus hispidus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP14

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth	Matrix		Redox Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0-4	10YR 3/2						Loamy/Clayey	Sandy loam, 50% rounded rock
4-16	10YR 2/2						Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam, 80% rounded rock
¹ Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains.							² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)							Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:	
☐ Histosol (A1)			☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)				☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)	
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)			☐ Sandy Redox (S5)				☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)	
☐ Black Histic (A3)			☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)				☐ Red Parent Material (F21)	
☒ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)			☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)				☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)			☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)				☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)			☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)					
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)			☒ Redox Dark Surface (F6)				³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)					
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)			☐ Redox Depressions (F8)					
Restrictive Layer (if observed):								
Type: _____						Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Depth (inches): _____								
Remarks:								
Strong hydrogen sulfide odor in lower 4-16"								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)	
☒ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☒ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present?	Yes ☒ No ☐	Depth (inches):	12
(includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks:			
Historic aerial imagery shows saturation and exclusionary agricultural practices at this wetland beginning in 2020.			

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: BZN Airport EA City/County: Gallatin Sampling Date: 10/05/23
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport State: MT Sampling Point: WDP17
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy Section, Township, Range: Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Streambank Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 5
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49 Lat: 45.760206 Long: -111.128602 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes NWI classification: R3UB (River)
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks: Wetland A3	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> =Total Cover	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> =Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species <u>30</u> x 1 = <u>30</u> FACW species <u>70</u> x 2 = <u>140</u> FAC species <u>0</u> x 3 = <u>0</u> FACU species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u> UPL species <u>0</u> x 5 = <u>0</u> Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>170</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>1.70</u>
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u> <u>70</u> Yes <u>FACW</u> 2. <u>Scirpus microcarpus</u> <u>30</u> Yes <u>OBL</u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> 6. <u> </u> 7. <u> </u> 8. <u> </u> 9. <u> </u> 10. <u> </u> 11. <u> </u> <u>100</u> =Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>X</u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Remarks:	

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP17

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	7.5YR 3/2						Mucky Loam/Clay	loam, high organic matter, many fine roots
6-8	7.5YR 3/2						Loamy/Clayey	loam, high organic matter
8-16	7.5YR 3/2	90					Loamy/Clayey	Mixed colors in this layer
	7.5YR 4/4	10						

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☒ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks:
Meets F1 indicator in the upper 6"

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☒ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>UDP2</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Creek bank</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>5</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.76352807</u>	Long: <u>-111.12709440</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☐ No ☒
Remarks: Paired with WDP1	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>160</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>275</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)</td> <td><u>435</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>40</u>	x 4 = <u>160</u>	UPL species <u>55</u>	x 5 = <u>275</u>	Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>435</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>40</u>	x 4 = <u>160</u>																				
UPL species <u>55</u>	x 5 = <u>275</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>435</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1. <u>Elymus smithii</u>		<u>35</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
2. <u>Bromus inermis</u>		<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3. <u>Bromus tectorum</u>		<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5. <u>Descurainia pinnata</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>95</u>	=Total Cover																		
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP2

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-3	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam texture, matted roots, granular structure
3-8	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam texture, 40% rock
8-16	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, no rock

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: No hydric soil indicators	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
Remarks: No hydrology indicators	

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>UDP5</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Bank</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.76790907</u>	Long: <u>-111.12682809</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 60%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>90</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>450</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>490</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.90</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>	UPL species <u>90</u>	x 5 = <u>450</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>490</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.90</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>																				
UPL species <u>90</u>	x 5 = <u>450</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>490</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.90</u>																					
1. <u>Symphoricarpos albus</u>		<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		<u>10</u> =Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1. <u>Bromus inermis</u>		<u>90</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>90</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP5

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No hydrology indicators			

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/16/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: UDP18	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy		Section, Township, Range: Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): Flat	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.75630703		Long: -111.130346419	
				Datum: NAD83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Enbar loam		NW1 classification: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X					
Remarks:					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Dominance Test worksheet:		
1. Betula occidentalis 10 Yes FACW			Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)		
2.			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B)		
3.			Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)		
4.					
10 =Total Cover					
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
1. Rosa woodsii 30 Yes FACU			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
2. Symphoricarpos albus 20 Yes FACU			OBL species 0 x 1 = 0		
3.			FACW species 10 x 2 = 20		
4.			FAC species 0 x 3 = 0		
5.			FACU species 50 x 4 = 200		
50 =Total Cover			UPL species 40 x 5 = 200		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Column Totals: 100 (A) 420 (B)		
1. Bromus inermis 40 Yes UPL			Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.20		
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
40 =Total Cover					
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
1.			1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
2.			2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹		
			4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
			5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹		
			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X		
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP18

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular, fine roots
4-14	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, fine and medium roots
14-16	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	few roots

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)	
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)		☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)	
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)		☐ Red Parent Material (F21)	
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)		☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Granular loam throughout. No hydric soil indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
No wetland hydrology

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>UDP21</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Creek bank</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>5</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.76290328</u>	Long: <u>-111.12709182</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u>		NWI classification: <u>R3UB (River)</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☐ No ☒
Remarks: Wetland A	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>45</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>180</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>50</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>250</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)</td> <td><u>430</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.53</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>45</u>	x 4 = <u>180</u>	UPL species <u>50</u>	x 5 = <u>250</u>	Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>430</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.53</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>45</u>	x 4 = <u>180</u>																				
UPL species <u>50</u>	x 5 = <u>250</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>430</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.53</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
2.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3.	<u>Bromus tectorum</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4.	<u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5.	<u>Descurainia pinnata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>95</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP21

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam texture, matted roots, granular structure
4-8	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam texture, 40% rock
8-16	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, no rock

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) ☐ Histosol (A1) ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) ☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E) ☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D) ☐ Red Parent Material (F21) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
--	--

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: No hydric soil indicators	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)			
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)			
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)			
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)			
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)					
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:					
Remarks: No hydrology indicators					

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u> City/County: <u>Gallatin</u> Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u> State: <u>MT</u> Sampling Point: <u>UDP22</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Streambank, upland</u> Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u> Slope (%): <u>5</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u> Lat: <u>45.760287</u> Long: <u>-111.128609</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u> NWI classification: <u>R3UB (River)</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%; vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ </td> <td style="width:50%; vertical-align: top;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☐ No ☒ </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> Remarks: Wetland A3 </td> </tr> </table>			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☐ No ☒	Remarks: Wetland A3																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☐ No ☒																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Remarks: Wetland A3																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width:35%;">Tree Stratum</th> <th style="width:15%;">(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th style="width:15%;">Absolute % Cover</th> <th style="width:15%;">Dominant Species?</th> <th style="width:10%;">Indicator Status</th> <th rowspan="10" style="width:20%; vertical-align: top;"> Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B) </th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr> <th>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th rowspan="10" style="vertical-align: top;"> Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>160</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>275</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)</td> <td><u>435</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u></td> </tr> </table> </th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr> <th>Herb Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th rowspan="15" style="vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. </th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td><u>Elymus smithii</u></td><td><u>35</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td><u>Bromus inermis</u></td><td><u>35</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>UPL</u></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td><u>Bromus tectorum</u></td><td><u>15</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>UPL</u></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td><u>Taraxacum officinale</u></td><td><u>5</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td><u>Descurainia pinnata</u></td><td><u>5</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>UPL</u></td></tr> <tr><td>6.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>7.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>9.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>10.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>11.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;"><u>95</u> =Total Cover</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr> <th>Woody Vine Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u> </td> <td colspan="3"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5" style="vertical-align: top;"> Remarks: </td> </tr> </table>			Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)	1.					2.					3.					4.					=Total Cover																									Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>160</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>275</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)</td> <td><u>435</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>40</u>	x 4 = <u>160</u>	UPL species <u>55</u>	x 5 = <u>275</u>	Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>435</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u>		1.					2.					3.					4.					5.					=Total Cover																				Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	1.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	2.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>	3.	<u>Bromus tectorum</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>	4.	<u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	5.	<u>Descurainia pinnata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>	6.					7.					8.					9.					10.					11.					<u>95</u> =Total Cover										Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				1.					2.					=Total Cover										% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>					Remarks:				
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>160</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>275</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)</td> <td><u>435</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>40</u>	x 4 = <u>160</u>	UPL species <u>55</u>	x 5 = <u>275</u>	Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>435</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																														
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACU species <u>40</u>	x 4 = <u>160</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
UPL species <u>55</u>	x 5 = <u>275</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Column Totals: <u>95</u> (A)	<u>435</u> (B)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.58</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
2.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
3.	<u>Bromus tectorum</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
4.	<u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
5.	<u>Descurainia pinnata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																														
6.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
7.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
8.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
9.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
10.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
11.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<u>95</u> =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Remarks:																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP22

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam texture, matted roots, granular structure
4-8	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam texture, 40% rock
8-16	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, no rock

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)	
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)		☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)	
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)		☐ Red Parent Material (F21)	
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)		☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____		Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: No hydric soil indicators		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks: No hydrology indicators				

A photograph of a wetland area with a narrow stream flowing through tall, green and yellow grasses. In the background, there are mountains and a clear blue sky. A white text box is overlaid on the image.

Wetland B
B1, B2, B3, & B4, along Dry Creek

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>WDP2</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Creek bank</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>0</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7679826</u>	Long: <u>-111.12676032</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

<table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:30%;">Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?</td> <td style="width:30%;">Yes <u> X </u></td> <td style="width:40%;">No <u> </u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Hydric Soil Present?</td> <td>Yes <u> X </u></td> <td>No <u> </u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Wetland Hydrology Present?</td> <td>Yes <u> X </u></td> <td>No <u> </u></td> </tr> </table>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u> X </u>	No <u> </u>	Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u> X </u>	No <u> </u>	Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u> X </u>	No <u> </u>	<table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:60%;">Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?</td> <td style="width:40%;">Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u></td> </tr> </table>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u> X </u>	No <u> </u>										
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u> X </u>	No <u> </u>										
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u> X </u>	No <u> </u>										
Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>											

Remarks:
 Wetland B

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																					
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u> 1 </u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u> 1 </u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																				
2.																									
3.																									
4.																									
		=Total Cover																							
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width:40%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width:60%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u> 0 </u></td> <td>x 1 = <u> 0 </u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u> 0 </u></td> <td>x 2 = <u> 0 </u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u> 99 </u></td> <td>x 3 = <u> 297 </u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u> 0 </u></td> <td>x 4 = <u> 0 </u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u> 1 </u></td> <td>x 5 = <u> 5 </u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u> 100 </u> (A)</td> <td><u> 302 </u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u> 3.02 </u></td> <td colspan="3"></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u> 0 </u>	x 1 = <u> 0 </u>	FACW species <u> 0 </u>	x 2 = <u> 0 </u>	FAC species <u> 99 </u>	x 3 = <u> 297 </u>	FACU species <u> 0 </u>	x 4 = <u> 0 </u>	UPL species <u> 1 </u>	x 5 = <u> 5 </u>	Column Totals: <u> 100 </u> (A)	<u> 302 </u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u> 3.02 </u>					
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																								
OBL species <u> 0 </u>	x 1 = <u> 0 </u>																								
FACW species <u> 0 </u>	x 2 = <u> 0 </u>																								
FAC species <u> 99 </u>	x 3 = <u> 297 </u>																								
FACU species <u> 0 </u>	x 4 = <u> 0 </u>																								
UPL species <u> 1 </u>	x 5 = <u> 5 </u>																								
Column Totals: <u> 100 </u> (A)	<u> 302 </u> (B)																								
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u> 3.02 </u>																									
1.																									
2.																									
3.																									
4.																									
5.																									
		=Total Cover																							
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> X </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> X </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																				
1.	<u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>99</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																					
2.	<u>Potentilla recta</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																					
3.																									
4.																									
5.																									
6.																									
7.																									
8.																									
9.																									
10.																									
11.																									
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																							
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>																				
1.																									
2.																									
		=Total Cover																							
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u> 0 </u>																									
Remarks:																									

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP2

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)		
☒ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2		
☒ High Water Table (A2)	MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)		
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)		
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)		
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)		
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☒ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☒ No ☐			Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ☒ No ☐			Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present?	Yes ☒ No ☐			Depth (inches): 0
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks:				
Saturated at surface, with pooled water on surface. Tussocky surface.				

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/09/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: WDP4	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy		Section, Township, Range: Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Creek bank		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): 0	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.77357903		Long: -111.13433781	
				Datum: NAD83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Beaverelle cobbly loam		NW1 classification: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes X No		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes X No					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No					
Remarks: Bordering Dry Creek by farmhouse. Water is likely near a high point as irrigation draws are low. Sample point is likely inundated and/or saturated for part of the growing season only.					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 50.0% (A/B)
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
		=Total Cover			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW species 0 x 2 = 0 FAC species 50 x 3 = 150 FACU species 40 x 4 = 160 UPL species 10 x 5 = 50 Column Totals: 100 (A) 360 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.60
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: X 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5.					
		=Total Cover			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1. Berteroa incana		5	No	UPL	
2. Elymus smithii		40	Yes	FACU	
3. Descurainia pinnata		5	No	UPL	
4. Convolvulus arvensis		0		UPL	
5. Alopecurus pratensis		50	Yes	FAC	
6. Symphyotrichum ascendens		0		FACU	
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
		100	=Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No
1.					
2.					
		=Total Cover			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0					
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 2/2	100					Mucky Loam/Clay	fibrous mucky loam
4-6	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam, fine roots throughout
6-16	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☒ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks:
6-16" is extremely rocky with rounded cobbles measuring 1-6" in diameter. Upper 4" meets F1 requirements.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☒ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☒ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
--	--	--	--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Surface water 1" from test pit. Very wet soil profile but not saturated.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u> City/County: <u>Gallatin</u> Sampling Date: <u>10/09/23</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u> State: <u>MT</u> Sampling Point: <u>WDP5</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 7, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Creek bank</u> Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u> Slope (%): <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u> Lat: <u>45.77197608</u> Long: <u>-111.13434008</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell cobbly loam</u> NWI classification: <u>None</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> </td> </tr> </table>			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Remarks: Along Dry Creek. 60% PEM and 40% SS. WDP5 is in a wetland bordering Dry Creek on either side. Water levels in Dry Creek are likely high right now due to low irrigation demand.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 35%;">Tree Stratum</th> <th style="width: 15%;">(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Absolute % Cover</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Dominant Species?</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Indicator Status</th> <th rowspan="10" style="width: 20%; vertical-align: top;"> Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33.3%</u> (A/B) </th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr> <th>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th rowspan="10" style="vertical-align: top;"> Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>110</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>60</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>270</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.70</u></td> </tr> </table> </th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td><u>Rosa woodsii</u></td><td><u>15</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td><u>Symphoricarpos albus</u></td><td><u>10</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;"><u>25</u> =Total Cover</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5"></td></tr> <tr> <th>Herb Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th rowspan="10" style="vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u> X </u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations¹(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. </th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td><u>Phalaris arundinacea</u></td><td><u>55</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACW</u></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td><u>Urtica dioica</u></td><td><u>10</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>FAC</u></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td><u>Lepidium latifolium</u></td><td><u>10</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>FAC</u></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>6.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>7.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>9.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>10.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>11.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;"><u>75</u> =Total Cover</td></tr> <tr> <th>Woody Vine Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th rowspan="3" style="vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u> </th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="5" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"> % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u> </td> <td colspan="4"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6"> Remarks: </td> </tr> </table>			Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33.3%</u> (A/B)	1.					2.					3.					4.					=Total Cover																									Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>110</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>60</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>270</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.70</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>55</u>	x 2 = <u>110</u>	FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>	FACU species <u>25</u>	x 4 = <u>100</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>270</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.70</u>		1.	<u>Rosa woodsii</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	2.	<u>Symphoricarpos albus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	3.					4.					5.					<u>25</u> =Total Cover																				Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u> X </u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	2.	<u>Urtica dioica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	3.	<u>Lepidium latifolium</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	4.					5.					6.					7.					8.					9.					10.					11.					<u>75</u> =Total Cover					Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>	1.					2.					=Total Cover					% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>						Remarks:					
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33.3%</u> (A/B)																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>110</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>20</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>60</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>270</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.70</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>55</u>	x 2 = <u>110</u>	FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>	FACU species <u>25</u>	x 4 = <u>100</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>270</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.70</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																							
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
FACW species <u>55</u>	x 2 = <u>110</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
FAC species <u>20</u>	x 3 = <u>60</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
FACU species <u>25</u>	x 4 = <u>100</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>270</u> (B)																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.70</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
1.	<u>Rosa woodsii</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
2.	<u>Symphoricarpos albus</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
<u>25</u> =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u> X </u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
2.	<u>Urtica dioica</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
3.	<u>Lepidium latifolium</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																							
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
6.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
7.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
8.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
9.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
10.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
11.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
<u>75</u> =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> X </u> No <u> </u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
Remarks:																																																																																																																																																																																																																											

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP5

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 2/2	100					Mucky Loam/Clay	mucky mineral loam, fine roots
4-10	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
10-16	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) ☐ Histosol (A1) ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☒ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) ☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E) ☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D) ☐ Red Parent Material (F21) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
---	--

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks:
Upper 4" meets F1 requirements

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☒ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☒ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☒ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Depth (inches): <u> 2 </u> Water Table Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Depth (inches): <u> 0 </u> Saturation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Depth (inches): <u> 2 </u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Dry season water table at 2"

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/09/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>WDP7</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Terrace</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>3</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.77590157</u>	Long: <u>-111.13235828</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: East of Dry Creek, near split to the east.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>85</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>255</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>320</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.20</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>85</u>	x 3 = <u>255</u>	FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>	UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>320</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.20</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>85</u>	x 3 = <u>255</u>																				
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>																				
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>320</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.20</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
2.	<u>Descurainia pinnata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3.	<u>Cynoglossum officinale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4.	<u>Cirsium vulgare</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5.	<u>Chenopodium album</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FACU</u>																	
6.	<u>Cirsium arvense</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FAC</u>																	
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)					Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐															
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP7

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	7.5YR 2.5/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam, granular
4-8	7.5YR 2.5/2	95	7.5YR 2.5/1	5	C	PL/M	Loamy/Clayey	prominent redox concentrations
8-16	10YR 4/2	60	10YR 5/6	3	RM			Reduced matrix, two redox colors
			7.5YR 2.5/1	37	C			Distinct redox concentrations

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☒ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☒ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks:
Meets depleted matrix and redox dark surface requirements.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☒ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	--	--	--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Wetland hydrology from overflow of Dry Creek. Tussocky surface, flow/drift paths. No saturation of soil profile.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																																																																																																																																																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																																																																																																																																																
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																																																																																																																																																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>																																																																																																																																																
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>WDP8</u>																																																																																																																																																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Terrace</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>3</u>																																																																																																																																																
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7764777</u>	Long: <u>-111.13233658</u>																																																																																																																																																
Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																																																																																																																																																		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM</u>																																																																																																																																																
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:50%; vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> <td style="width:50%; vertical-align: top;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> </tr> </table>			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																														
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																	
Remarks: In bottom of old ditch, at "Y" of Dry Creek, in the west channel.																																																																																																																																																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width:40%;">Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th style="width:10%;">Absolute % Cover</th> <th style="width:10%;">Dominant Species?</th> <th style="width:10%;">Indicator Status</th> <th style="width:30%;"></th> </tr> <tr> <td>1. <u>Populus deltoides</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FAC</td> <td rowspan="5"> Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>83.3%</u> (A/B) </td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. <u>Salix boothii</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">30</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FACW</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">50 =Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) </td> <td rowspan="5"> Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>50</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>265</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.65</u></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. <u>Rosa woodsii</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FACU</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">5 =Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) </td> <td rowspan="12"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations¹(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. </td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">20</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FACW</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. <u>Urtica dioica</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FAC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">FACU</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. <u>Arctium lappa</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">No</td> <td style="text-align: center;">UPL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. <u>Cirsium arvense</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">FAC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. <u>Lepidium latifolium</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Yes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">FAC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. <u>Cynoglossum officinale</u></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">FACU</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>9. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>10. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>11. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">45 =Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) </td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. _____</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4"> Remarks: Within disused ditch channel. Most shrubs/trees are dead or dying, indicating that disuse of ditch will cause FAC or FACW species to die out. </td> </tr> </table>			Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status		1. <u>Populus deltoides</u>	20	Yes	FAC	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>83.3%</u> (A/B)	2. <u>Salix boothii</u>	30	Yes	FACW	3. _____				4. _____				50 =Total Cover				Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>50</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>265</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.65</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>50</u>	x 2 = <u>100</u>	FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>265</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.65</u>		1. <u>Rosa woodsii</u>	5	Yes	FACU	2. _____				3. _____				4. _____				5 =Total Cover				Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	20	Yes	FACW	2. <u>Urtica dioica</u>	10	Yes	FAC	3. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	0		FACU	4. <u>Arctium lappa</u>	5	No	UPL	5. <u>Cirsium arvense</u>	0		FAC	6. <u>Lepidium latifolium</u>	10	Yes	FAC	7. <u>Cynoglossum officinale</u>	0		FACU	8. _____				9. _____				10. _____				11. _____				45 =Total Cover				Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				1. _____				2. _____				=Total Cover				% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>				Remarks: Within disused ditch channel. Most shrubs/trees are dead or dying, indicating that disuse of ditch will cause FAC or FACW species to die out.			
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																																																																																																																																															
1. <u>Populus deltoides</u>	20	Yes	FAC	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>5</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>83.3%</u> (A/B)																																																																																																																																														
2. <u>Salix boothii</u>	30	Yes	FACW																																																																																																																																															
3. _____																																																																																																																																																		
4. _____																																																																																																																																																		
50 =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>50</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>25</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>265</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.65</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>50</u>	x 2 = <u>100</u>	FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>265</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.65</u>																																																																																																																															
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																																																																																																																																																	
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																	
FACW species <u>50</u>	x 2 = <u>100</u>																																																																																																																																																	
FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>																																																																																																																																																	
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>																																																																																																																																																	
UPL species <u>5</u>	x 5 = <u>25</u>																																																																																																																																																	
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>265</u> (B)																																																																																																																																																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.65</u>																																																																																																																																																		
1. <u>Rosa woodsii</u>	5	Yes	FACU																																																																																																																																															
2. _____																																																																																																																																																		
3. _____																																																																																																																																																		
4. _____																																																																																																																																																		
5 =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																																																																																																																																														
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	20	Yes	FACW																																																																																																																																															
2. <u>Urtica dioica</u>	10	Yes	FAC																																																																																																																																															
3. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	0		FACU																																																																																																																																															
4. <u>Arctium lappa</u>	5	No	UPL																																																																																																																																															
5. <u>Cirsium arvense</u>	0		FAC																																																																																																																																															
6. <u>Lepidium latifolium</u>	10	Yes	FAC																																																																																																																																															
7. <u>Cynoglossum officinale</u>	0		FACU																																																																																																																																															
8. _____																																																																																																																																																		
9. _____																																																																																																																																																		
10. _____																																																																																																																																																		
11. _____																																																																																																																																																		
45 =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																																																																																																																																																		
1. _____																																																																																																																																																		
2. _____																																																																																																																																																		
=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																		
Remarks: Within disused ditch channel. Most shrubs/trees are dead or dying, indicating that disuse of ditch will cause FAC or FACW species to die out.																																																																																																																																																		

ENG FORM 6116-9, JUL 2018

Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast – Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP8

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam, unconsolidated
4-8	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam, unconsolidated
8-16	10YR 5/2	94	10YR 5/6	6	RM	M	Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam, blocky structure

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☒ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks:
Upper soil profile is sediment deposited by ditch flow. Meets depleted matrix hydric soil requirements.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Within disused ditch channel, near to flowing Dry Creek

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: BZN Airport EA City/County: Gallatin Sampling Date: 10/10/23
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport State: MT Sampling Point: WDP14
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy Section, Township, Range: Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Field Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 0
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49 Lat: 45.7563067099999 Long: -111.13032108 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Enbar loam NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>	
Remarks: Near creek.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
1. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>																	
2. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>																	
3. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>																	
4. <u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>																	
<u> </u> =Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table><tr><td>Total % Cover of:</td><td>Multiply by:</td></tr><tr><td>OBL species <u>0</u></td><td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td></tr><tr><td>FACW species <u>25</u></td><td>x 2 = <u>50</u></td></tr><tr><td>FAC species <u>65</u></td><td>x 3 = <u>195</u></td></tr><tr><td>FACU species <u>0</u></td><td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td></tr><tr><td>UPL species <u>10</u></td><td>x 5 = <u>50</u></td></tr><tr><td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td><td><u>295</u> (B)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.95</u></td></tr></table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>	FAC species <u>65</u>	x 3 = <u>195</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>295</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.95</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>																			
FAC species <u>65</u>	x 3 = <u>195</u>																			
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																			
UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>295</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.95</u>																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1. <u> </u>																				
2. <u> </u>																				
3. <u> </u>																				
4. <u> </u>																				
<u> </u> =Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1. <u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
2. <u>Equisetum arvense</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
4. <u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
5. <u>Elymus hispidus</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
6. <u> </u>																				
7. <u> </u>																				
8. <u> </u>																				
9. <u> </u>																				
10. <u> </u>																				
11. <u> </u>																				
<u>100</u> =Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1. <u> </u>																				
2. <u> </u>																				
<u> </u> =Total Cover																				
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																				
Remarks:																				

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP14

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)		☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except		☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2	
☐ High Water Table (A2)		MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)		4A, and 4B)	
☒ Saturation (A3)		☐ Salt Crust (B11)		☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)		☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)		☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)		☒ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)		☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)		☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)		☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)		☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)		☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒	Depth (inches): ☐		
Water Table Present?	Yes ☐	No ☒	Depth (inches): ☐		
Saturation Present?	Yes ☒	No ☐	Depth (inches): 12		
(includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:					
Remarks:					
Historic aerial imagery shows saturation and exclusionary agricultural practices at this wetland beginning in 2020.					

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/09/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: UDP6	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy		Section, Township, Range: Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): Flat	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.7656397		Long: -111.140067639	
				Datum: NAD83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Beaverell-Bevwan complex		NW1 classification: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 0 No X			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X					
Remarks: Paired with WDP3					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
			=Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
			=Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1. Bromus inermis			70	Yes	UPL
2. Tragopogon dubius			5	No	UPL
3. Descurainia pinnata			5	No	UPL
4. Elymus trachycaulus			5	No	FAC
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
			85	=Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1.					
2.					
			=Total Cover		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0					
Remarks: 15% litter cover					
Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)					
Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW species 0 x 2 = 0 FAC species 5 x 3 = 15 FACU species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL species 80 x 5 = 400 Column Totals: 85 (A) 415 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.88					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP6

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, many fine and medium roots
4-6	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
6-16	10YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, very compact, 5% gravel

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Granular structure throughout the profile. No hydric soil indicators.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	--	--	--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
No hydrology indicators

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/09/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>UDP7</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Terrace</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.773538209</u>	Long: <u>-111.134388549</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell cobbly loam</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>0</u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Paired with WDP4, near farmhouse.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>60</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>45</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>225</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>405</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.05</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>	FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>	UPL species <u>45</u>	x 5 = <u>225</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>405</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.05</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>																				
FACU species <u>15</u>	x 4 = <u>60</u>																				
UPL species <u>45</u>	x 5 = <u>225</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>405</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.05</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Agropyron cristatum</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
2.	<u>Elymus trachycaulus</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3.	<u>Descurainia pinnata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4.	<u>Chenopodium album</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5.	<u>Berteroa incana</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
6.	<u>Symphyotrichum ascendens</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP7

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, many fine and medium roots
4-8	10YR 3/3	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam
8-16	10YR 3/4	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, very compact, 5% gravel

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Granular structure throughout the profile. No hydric soil indicators.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
No hydrology indicators

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/09/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: UDP8	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy		Section, Township, Range: Section 7, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): Flat	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.771939779		Long: -111.134556689	
Datum: NAD83		Soil Map Unit Name: Beaverell cobbly loam			
NW1 classification: None		Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed?		Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No			
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic?		(If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)			
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 0 No X		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X			
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X					
Remarks:					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover		Dominant Species?	
Indicator Status		Dominance Test worksheet:			
1.		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)			
2.		Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)			
3.		Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)			
4.					
		=Total Cover			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover		Dominant Species?	
Indicator Status		Prevalence Index worksheet:			
1.		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:			
2.		OBL species 0 x 1 = 0			
3.		FACW species 0 x 2 = 0			
4.		FAC species 0 x 3 = 0			
5.		FACU species 10 x 4 = 40			
		UPL species 90 x 5 = 450			
		Column Totals: 100 (A) 490 (B)			
		Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.90			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover		Dominant Species?	
Indicator Status		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:			
1. Agropyron cristatum 50 Yes UPL		1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation			
2. Tragopogon dubius 5 No UPL		2 - Dominance Test is >50%			
3. Centaurea stoebe 10 No UPL		3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹			
4. Bromus inermis 25 Yes UPL		4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)			
5. Achillea millefolium 5 No FACU		5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹			
6. Taraxacum officinale 5 No FACU		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)			
7.		1Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.			
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
		100 =Total Cover			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover		Dominant Species?	
Indicator Status		Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X			
1.					
2.					
		=Total Cover			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0					
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP8

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	7.5YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam, many fine and medium roots
4-13	7.5YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam, 50% less roots
13-16	7.5YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Remarks: No hydric indicators	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
Remarks: No hydrology indicators	

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/09/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>UDP9</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Terrace</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.775811109</u>	Long: <u>-111.132204079</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>0</u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
--	---

Remarks:
 Mowed field; identified vegetation by unmowed fringe and basal leaves.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>275</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>415</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.15</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>55</u>	x 5 = <u>275</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>415</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.15</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>40</u>	x 3 = <u>120</u>																				
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>																				
UPL species <u>55</u>	x 5 = <u>275</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>415</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.15</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Berteroa incana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
2.	<u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
3.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
4.	<u>Elymus trachycaulus</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP9

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	7.5YR 3/2						Loamy/Clayey	Loam, granular
4-16	7.5YR 3/2						Loamy/Clayey	Loam, granular, 45% rock

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	
☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	
☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	
☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	
☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	
☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	
☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
No hydric indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
No hydrology indicators

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																																
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>																																
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>UDP10</u>																																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>																																
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7765260299999</u>	Long: <u>-111.13246195</u>																																
Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																																		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>																																
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)																																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																																		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>0</u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																																
Remarks: In mowed field, on edge where plant species could be identified																																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																																		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ =Total Cover		Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ 5. _____ =Total Cover																																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Berteroa incana</u> 5 No UPL 2. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u> 5 No FACU 3. <u>Elymus smithii</u> 45 Yes FACU 4. <u>Lactuca serriola</u> 5 No FACU 5. <u>Bromus inermis</u> 40 Yes UPL 6. _____ 7. _____ 8. _____ 9. _____ 10. _____ 11. _____ 100 =Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Total % Cover of:</td> <td colspan="2">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species</td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 =</td> <td><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species</td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 =</td> <td><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species</td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 =</td> <td><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species</td> <td><u>55</u></td> <td>x 4 =</td> <td><u>220</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species</td> <td><u>45</u></td> <td>x 5 =</td> <td><u>225</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals:</td> <td><u>100</u> (A)</td> <td></td> <td><u>445</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A =</td> <td colspan="2"><u>4.45</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:		Multiply by:		OBL species	<u>0</u>	x 1 =	<u>0</u>	FACW species	<u>0</u>	x 2 =	<u>0</u>	FAC species	<u>0</u>	x 3 =	<u>0</u>	FACU species	<u>55</u>	x 4 =	<u>220</u>	UPL species	<u>45</u>	x 5 =	<u>225</u>	Column Totals:	<u>100</u> (A)		<u>445</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A =		<u>4.45</u>	
Total % Cover of:			Multiply by:																															
OBL species	<u>0</u>	x 1 =	<u>0</u>																															
FACW species	<u>0</u>	x 2 =	<u>0</u>																															
FAC species	<u>0</u>	x 3 =	<u>0</u>																															
FACU species	<u>55</u>	x 4 =	<u>220</u>																															
UPL species	<u>45</u>	x 5 =	<u>225</u>																															
Column Totals:	<u>100</u> (A)		<u>445</u> (B)																															
Prevalence Index = B/A =		<u>4.45</u>																																
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																																
Remarks:		Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																																

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP10

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)		
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2		
☐ High Water Table (A2)	MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)		
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)		
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)		
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)		
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒		
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
Saturation Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks:				

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/10/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: UDP11	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy		Section, Township, Range: Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): Flat	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.777957		Long: -111.133005929999	
				Datum: NAD83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Beaverell-Beavwan complex		NW1 classification: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes 0 No X			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X					
Remarks: Within disused ditch. FAC vegetation is dead or dying. Most FAC vegetation is over one year dead (bleached and crisp from solar radiation).					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 3 (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
			=Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW species 0 x 2 = 0 FAC species 0 x 3 = 0 FACU species 10 x 4 = 40 UPL species 0 x 5 = 0 Column Totals: 10 (A) 40 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.00
1. Rosa woodsii		10	Yes	FACU	
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
		10	=Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. Tanacetum vulgare		15	Yes		
2. Bromus inermis		35	Yes		
3. Berteroa incana		10	No		
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
		60	=Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X
1.					
2.					
			=Total Cover		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0					
Remarks: 30% litter cover					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP11

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, many fine roots
4-9	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular structure
9-16	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
No redox or hydric indicators observed. Entire profile is very mixed, as expected of a ditch bottom.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Drainage pattern is checked due to location within a disused irrigation ditch.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>UDP10</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7759412099999</u>	Long: <u>-111.13331028</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: In mowed field, species identified from low-lying plants.	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
				=Total Cover																	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>220</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>45</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>225</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>445</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.45</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>55</u>	x 4 = <u>220</u>	UPL species <u>45</u>	x 5 = <u>225</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>445</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.45</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>55</u>	x 4 = <u>220</u>																				
UPL species <u>45</u>	x 5 = <u>225</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>445</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.45</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
				=Total Cover																	
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Berteroa incana</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
2.	<u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
3.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4.	<u>Lactuca serriola</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
5.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u>		=Total Cover																	
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
				=Total Cover																	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP10

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular, fine roots
4-16	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular, 10% rock

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
No hydric indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Wetland C
C1, C2, & C3, along Spain Ferris Fork Ditch

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																																																																																																																																																																																																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 31, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>WDP12</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.78773764</u>	Long: <u>-111.157886719999</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell cobbly loam</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> </tr> </table>			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																														
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Remarks: Immediately north of East Baseline Road, east of Lagoon road. Hydrology is from Spain Ferris ditch. Distinct wetland and upland boundary.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 35%;">Tree Stratum</th> <th style="width: 15%;">(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Absolute % Cover</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Dominant Species?</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Indicator Status</th> <th style="width: 30%;"></th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td rowspan="5"> Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B) </td> </tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="5"> Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>165</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>250</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Herb Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="12"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations¹(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td><u>Alopecurus pratensis</u></td><td><u>55</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FAC</u></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td><u>Phalaris arundinacea</u></td><td><u>25</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACW</u></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td><u>Dactylis glomerata</u></td><td><u>5</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td><u>Carex nebrascensis</u></td><td><u>15</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>OBL</u></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td><u>Poa pratensis</u></td><td><u>0</u></td><td></td><td><u>FAC</u></td></tr> <tr><td>6.</td><td><u>Trifolium pratense</u></td><td><u>0</u></td><td></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>7.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>9.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>10.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>11.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">100 =Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Woody Vine Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="3"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6"> % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6">Remarks:</td> </tr> </table>			Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status		1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)	2.					3.					4.												=Total Cover				Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>165</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>250</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>	FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>	FAC species <u>55</u>	x 3 = <u>165</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>250</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u>		1.					2.					3.					4.					5.							=Total Cover				Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	1.	<u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>	2.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>	3.	<u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	4.	<u>Carex nebrascensis</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>	5.	<u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FAC</u>	6.	<u>Trifolium pratense</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FACU</u>	7.					8.					9.					10.					11.							100 =Total Cover				Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	1.					2.							=Total Cover				% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>						Remarks:					
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																																																																																																																																																																																														
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																																																																																																																																																																																													
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>25</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>165</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>250</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>	FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>	FAC species <u>55</u>	x 3 = <u>165</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>250</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u>																																																																																																																																																																														
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																																																																																																																																																																																																	
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACW species <u>25</u>	x 2 = <u>50</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FAC species <u>55</u>	x 3 = <u>165</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>250</u> (B)																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.50</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.	<u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
2.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
3.	<u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
4.	<u>Carex nebrascensis</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
5.	<u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FAC</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
6.	<u>Trifolium pratense</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
7.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
8.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
9.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
10.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
11.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		100 =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Remarks:																																																																																																																																																																																																		

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP12

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular, dense fine roots
4-7	10YR 2/2	94	7.5YR 4/4	6	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	increasing compaction
7-16	10YR 2/2	94	7.5YR 4/4	6	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	35% rounded rock 2-4" diameter

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☒ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: Meets redox dark surface requirements	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☒ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☒ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:	
Remarks: Historic aerial imagery shows saturation and exclusionary agricultural practices at this wetland beginning in 2020.	

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																			
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																			
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																			
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>																			
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 31, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>WDP13</u>																			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>0</u>																			
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.78786697</u>	Long: <u>-111.158910759999</u>																			
Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																					
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell cobbly loam</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM</u>																			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)																					
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐																					
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐																			
Remarks: Hydrology is from Spain Ferris ditch. Distinct wetland and upland boundary.																					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																					
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
=Total Cover																					
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>60</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>50</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>150</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>20</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>245</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.45</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>	FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>	FAC species <u>50</u>	x 3 = <u>150</u>	FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>245</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.45</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>																				
FACW species <u>30</u>	x 2 = <u>60</u>																				
FAC species <u>50</u>	x 3 = <u>150</u>																				
FACU species <u>5</u>	x 4 = <u>20</u>																				
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>245</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.45</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
=Total Cover																					
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Alopecurus pratensis</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
2.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
3.	<u>Dactylis glomerata</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4.	<u>Carex nebrascensis</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>																	
5.	<u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FAC</u>																	
6.	<u>Trifolium pratense</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FACU</u>																	
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
<u>100</u> =Total Cover																					
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
=Total Cover																					
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP13

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular, dense fine roots
4-7	10YR 2/2	95	7.5YR 4/4	5	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	increasing compaction
7-16	10YR 2/2	94	7.5YR 4/4	6	C	M	Loamy/Clayey	35% rounded rock 2-4" diameter

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)	
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)		☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)	
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)		☐ Red Parent Material (F21)	
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)		☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)	
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)		☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☒ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Remarks:
Meets redox dark surface requirements

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☒ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☒ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Historic aerial imagery shows saturation and exclusionary agricultural practices at this wetland beginning in 2020.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/17/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>WDP18</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Next to ditch</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>0</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.787312</u>	Long: <u>-111.152595</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: Wetland fringe along Spain Ferris Ditch	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>100</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>200</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>200</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																				
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2.	<u>Lepidium latifolium</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FAC</u>																	
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐																
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP18

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
(includes capillary fringe)			
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks:			
Hydrology is from ditch, ditch is dry at time of inspection			

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>																
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 31, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>UDP13</u>																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>																
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.78772301</u>	Long: <u>-111.157809299999</u>																
Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell cobbly loam</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>																
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																
Remarks: In hay field																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ =Total Cover		Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ 5. _____ =Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Elymus smithii</u> 45 Yes FACU 2. <u>Medicago sativa</u> 40 Yes UPL 3. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u> 6 No FACU 4. <u>Trifolium pratense</u> 9 No FACU 5. _____ 6. _____ 7. _____ 8. _____ 9. _____ 10. _____ 11. _____ 100 =Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>60</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>240</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>200</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>440</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.40</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>60</u>	x 4 = <u>240</u>	UPL species <u>40</u>	x 5 = <u>200</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>440</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.40</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																	
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																	
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																	
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																	
FACU species <u>60</u>	x 4 = <u>240</u>																	
UPL species <u>40</u>	x 5 = <u>200</u>																	
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>440</u> (B)																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.40</u>																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation ___ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) ___ 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
Remarks:		Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP13

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam, fine roots, gravel, rock
2-5	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	increasing compaction
5-16	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	increasing compaction, 30% rock and gravel

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Granular loam throughout. No hydric soil indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: BZN Airport EA City/County: Gallatin Sampling Date: 10/10/23
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport State: MT Sampling Point: UDP14
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy Section, Township, Range: Section 31, Township 1S, Range 5E
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Field Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): Flat
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49 Lat: 45.7878693899999 Long: -111.158833369 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Beaverell-Beavwan complex NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks: In hay field	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Elymus smithii</u> <u>50</u> Yes <u>FACU</u> 2. <u>Medicago sativa</u> <u>35</u> Yes <u>UPL</u> 3. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u> <u>6</u> No <u>FACU</u> 4. <u>Trifolium pratense</u> <u>9</u> No <u>FACU</u> 5. <u> </u> 6. <u> </u> 7. <u> </u> 8. <u> </u> 9. <u> </u> 10. <u> </u> 11. <u> </u> <u>100</u> =Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u> FAC species <u>0</u> x 3 = <u>0</u> FACU species <u>65</u> x 4 = <u>260</u> UPL species <u>35</u> x 5 = <u>175</u> Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>435</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.35</u>
Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	
Remarks:	

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP14

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-2	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam, fine roots, gravel, rock
2-5	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	increasing compaction
5-16	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	compact, 40% gravel

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Granular loam throughout. No hydric soil indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: BZN Airport EA City/County: Gallatin Sampling Date: 10/17/23
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport State: MT Sampling Point: UDP23
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy Section, Township, Range: Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Field Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): Flat
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49 Lat: 45.787245 Long: -111.152613 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Beaverell-Beavwan complex NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Paired with WDP18

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>0</u> x 2 = <u>0</u> FAC species <u>0</u> x 3 = <u>0</u> FACU species <u>0</u> x 4 = <u>0</u> UPL species <u>100</u> x 5 = <u>500</u> Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>500</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>5.00</u>
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Agropyron cristatum</u> <u>60</u> Yes UPL 2. <u>Bromus inermis</u> <u>40</u> Yes UPL 3. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 6. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 7. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 8. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 9. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 10. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> 11. <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> <u>100</u> =Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	


SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP23

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ (includes capillary fringe) Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology			

A photograph of a wetland area. In the foreground, there is a narrow stream flowing through dense, tall green grasses. The grasses are lush and appear to be a type of reed or marsh grass. In the background, there is a wide expanse of flat land covered in similar vegetation, leading up to a range of dark, rugged mountains under a cloudy sky. A small white building is visible on the left side of the middle ground.

Wetland D

Fringe along Spain Ferris Fork Ditch/Dry Creek

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/17/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>WDP15</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Next to ditch</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>0</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.76616894</u>	Long: <u>-111.139042209</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: Wetland fringe along Spain Ferris Ditch	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>100</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>200</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>200</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																				
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2.	<u>Lepidium latifolium</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FAC</u>																	
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP15

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)		
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2		
☒ High Water Table (A2)	MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)		
☒ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)		
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☒ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)		
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)		
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches): 4
Water Table Present?	Yes ☒ No ☐			Depth (inches): 0
Saturation Present?	Yes ☒ No ☐			Depth (inches): 0
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks: Hydrology is from ditch				

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/17/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: UDP19	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy		Section, Township, Range: Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Field		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): Flat	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.76618313		Long: -111.139067609999	
		Datum: NAD83			
Soil Map Unit Name: Beaverell-Beavwan complex		NW1 classification: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X					
Remarks:					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
		=Total Cover			Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW species 0 x 2 = 0 FAC species 0 x 3 = 0 FACU species 0 x 4 = 0 UPL species 100 x 5 = 500 Column Totals: 100 (A) 500 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.00
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
		=Total Cover			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1. Agropyron cristatum		60	Yes	UPL	
2. Bromus inermis		40	Yes	UPL	
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
		100 =Total Cover			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1.					
2.					
		=Total Cover			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0					
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP19

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ 4A, and 4B)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒	Depth (inches):	
(includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks:			
No wetland hydrology			

Wetland E
Fringe of Mammoth Ditch

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/17/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>WDP16</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Next to ditch</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>0</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7924814799999</u>	Long: <u>-111.17615834</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ☐ No ☐ (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Are Vegetation ☐ , Soil ☐ , or Hydrology ☐ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Hydric Soil Present? Yes ☒ No ☐ Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes ☒ No ☐
Remarks: Wetland fringe along Mammoth Ditch	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>1</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
=Total Cover																					
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>100</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>200</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>200</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>100</u>	x 2 = <u>200</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																				
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>200</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.00</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
=Total Cover																					
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u>Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)</u> ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2.	<u>Lepidium latifolium</u>	<u>0</u>		<u>FAC</u>																	
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
<u>100</u> =Total Cover																					
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ☒ No ☐																
1.																					
2.																					
=Total Cover																					
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: WDP16

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)		
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2		
☐ High Water Table (A2)	MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)		
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)		
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)		
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)		
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☒ No ☐		
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
Saturation Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks: Hydrology is from ditch				

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>	City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>	Sampling Date: <u>10/17/23</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>	State: <u>MT</u>	Sampling Point: <u>UDP20</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u> Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.79247239</u>	Long: <u>-111.17608167</u> Datum: <u>NAD83</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)		

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>100</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>500</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>500</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>5.00</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>100</u>	x 5 = <u>500</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>500</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>5.00</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																				
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																				
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																				
UPL species <u>100</u>	x 5 = <u>500</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>500</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>5.00</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
		=Total Cover																			
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Agropyron cristatum</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
2.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
		<u>100</u> =Total Cover																			
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)																				
1.																					
2.																					
		=Total Cover																			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP20

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)		
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2		
☐ High Water Table (A2)	MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)		
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)		
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)		
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)		
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)		
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)		
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)				
Field Observations:		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒		
Surface Water Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
Water Table Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
Saturation Present?	Yes ☐ No ☒			Depth (inches):
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
Remarks: No wetland hydrology				

The image is a landscape photograph. The foreground is filled with tall, dry, yellowish-brown grass. In the middle ground, there are some small, low-lying shrubs and a few trees. In the background, there are rolling hills or mountains under a heavy, grey, overcast sky. A white rectangular box with a black border is centered in the upper half of the image, containing the text "Upland Areas" in bold and "Not associated with wetlands" in a regular font.

Upland Areas
Not associated with wetlands

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R	OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)
---	---

Project/Site: BZN Airport EA City/County: Gallatin Sampling Date: 10/05/23
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport State: MT Sampling Point: UDP1
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Percy Section, Township, Range: Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Creek bank Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope (%): 5
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49 Lat: 45.768505949 Long: -111.127022839 Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes NWI classification: None
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>4</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>25.0%</u> (A/B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Symphoricarpos albus</u> 30 Yes FACU 2. <u>Rosa woodsii</u> 20 Yes FACU 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> <u>50</u> =Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species <u>0</u> x 1 = <u>0</u> FACW species <u>40</u> x 2 = <u>80</u> FAC species <u>0</u> x 3 = <u>0</u> FACU species <u>50</u> x 4 = <u>200</u> UPL species <u>10</u> x 5 = <u>50</u> Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>330</u> (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.30</u>
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u> 40 Yes FACW 2. <u>Bromus inermis</u> 10 Yes UPL 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> 6. <u> </u> 7. <u> </u> 8. <u> </u> 9. <u> </u> 10. <u> </u> 11. <u> </u> <u>50</u> =Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> <u> </u> =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>
Remarks:	

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP1

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-6	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam, fine roots
6-16	10YR 2/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	granular loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.) ☐ Histosol (A1) ☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) ☐ Histic Epipedon (A2) ☐ Sandy Redox (S5) ☐ Black Histic (A3) ☐ Stripped Matrix (S6) ☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1) ☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G) ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) ☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) ☐ Depleted Matrix (F3) ☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12) ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6) ☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7) ☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G) ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³: ☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E) ☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D) ☐ Red Parent Material (F21) ☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22) ☐ Other (Explain in Remarks) ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
--	--

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Thick fine roots in 0-6 inches.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)				Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)			
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)			
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)			
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)			
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)			
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)			
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)			
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)			
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)					
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Aproximately 18" above surface water, beneath high water mark. Aproximately 2' from water's edge.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/05/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: UDP3	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy		Section, Township, Range: Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Creek bank		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): 5	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.76050878		Long: -111.12803558	
		Datum: NAD83			
Soil Map Unit Name: Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes				NW1 classification: RP1FO	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X No					
Remarks: In creek bend, between side channel and creek					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Dominance Test worksheet:		
1. Populus deltoides 30 Yes FAC			Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)		
2. Populus tremuloides 20 Yes FACU			Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)		
3. 50 =Total Cover			Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 40.0% (A/B)		
4. Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. =Total Cover			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			OBL species 0 x 1 = 0		
1. Elymus smithii 20 Yes FACU			FACW species 10 x 2 = 20		
2. Phalaris arundinacea 10 Yes FACW			FAC species 30 x 3 = 90		
3. Bromus inermis 20 Yes UPL			FACU species 40 x 4 = 160		
4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 50 =Total Cover			UPL species 20 x 5 = 100		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)			Column Totals: 100 (A) 370 (B)		
1. 2. =Total Cover			Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.70		
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 5			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
Remarks:			1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
			2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
			3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹		
			4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
			5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹		
			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X		

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP3

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-5	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam, fine roots, granular
5-12	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	Silt loam, granular
12-16	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	silt loam, granular, 50% rock

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____		Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	--	---

Remarks:
No hydric soil indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☒ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
---	--	--	--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Side channel drainage patterns, lack of wetland vegetation and hydric soils indicate upland conditions

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Percy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/05/23</u>																
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 8, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>UDP4</u>																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Upland area between braided channel</u>		Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>																
Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>																		
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>		Lat: <u>45.76942669</u>																
Long: <u>-111.12771714</u>		Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u>		NWI classification: <u>R3UB</u>																
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																
Remarks: In "island" within stream																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ =Total Cover		Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>33.3%</u> (A/B)																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ 3. _____ 4. _____ 5. _____ =Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Tanacetum vulgare</u> 30 Yes FACU 2. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u> 40 Yes FACW 3. <u>Bromus inermis</u> 30 Yes UPL 4. _____ 5. _____ 6. _____ 7. _____ 8. _____ 9. _____ 10. _____ 11. _____ 100 =Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>40</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>80</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>30</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>150</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>350</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.50</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>	UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>350</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.50</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																	
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																	
FACW species <u>40</u>	x 2 = <u>80</u>																	
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																	
FACU species <u>30</u>	x 4 = <u>120</u>																	
UPL species <u>30</u>	x 5 = <u>150</u>																	
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>350</u> (B)																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>3.50</u>																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. _____ 2. _____ =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
Remarks: Livestock-trampled vegetation		Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP4

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-12	10YR 2/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	Granular loam
12-16	10YR 2/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	Sandy loam, unconsolidated

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____		Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	--	---

Remarks:
Upper 12" smells like petroleum. No surface staining or other indicators of a spill.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)				Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	--	--	--	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Sampled point is in a small "island" within the stream. No hydrology indicators at the sample point except for the braided stream channel on either side.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/10/23</u>																
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>UDP11</u>																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Terrace</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>																
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.777957</u>	Long: <u>-111.133005929999</u>																
Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																		
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Beaverell-Beavwan complex</u>		NWI classification: <u>None</u>																
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																		
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>0</u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																
Remarks: Within disused ditch. FAC vegetation is dead or dying. Most FAC vegetation is over one year dead (bleached and crisp from solar radiation).																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> =Total Cover		Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Rosa woodsii</u> 10 Yes FACU 2. <u> </u> 3. <u> </u> 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> 10 =Total Cover																		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u>Tanacetum vulgare</u> 15 Yes 2. <u>Bromus inermis</u> 35 Yes 3. <u>Berteroa incana</u> 10 No 4. <u> </u> 5. <u> </u> 6. <u> </u> 7. <u> </u> 8. <u> </u> 9. <u> </u> 10. <u> </u> 11. <u> </u> 60 =Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Total % Cover of:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Multiply by:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>10</u> (A)</td> <td><u>40</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>10</u> (A)	<u>40</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																	
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																	
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																	
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																	
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>																	
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																	
Column Totals: <u>10</u> (A)	<u>40</u> (B)																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.00</u>																		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>) 1. <u> </u> 2. <u> </u> =Total Cover % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
Remarks: 30% litter cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP11

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/1	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, many fine roots
4-9	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular structure
9-16	10YR 4/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	sandy loam

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
No redox or hydric indicators observed. Entire profile is very mixed, as expected of a ditch bottom.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☒ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
Drainage pattern is checked due to location within a disused irrigation ditch.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																																																																																																																																																																																																
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/16/23</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>UDP15</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Field</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.78008513</u>	Long: <u>-111.128674149999</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam</u>	Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
NW1 classification: <u>Rp1FO</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																																																																																																																																																																																																		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> </td> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> </table>			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Remarks: Above Hyalite Creek, between riparian area and cultivated field																																																																																																																																																																																																		
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 35%;">Tree Stratum</th> <th style="width: 15%;">(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Absolute % Cover</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Dominant Species?</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Indicator Status</th> <th style="width: 20%;"></th> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td rowspan="5"> Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B) </td> </tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="5"> Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>2</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>6</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>28</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>112</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>350</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>468</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.68</u></td> </tr> </table> </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Herb Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="12"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations¹(Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain) ¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td><u>Elymus smithii</u></td><td><u>20</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td><u>Bromus inermis</u></td><td><u>70</u></td><td><u>Yes</u></td><td><u>UPL</u></td></tr> <tr><td>3.</td><td><u>Cirsium arvense</u></td><td><u>2</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>FAC</u></td></tr> <tr><td>4.</td><td><u>Cirsium vulgare</u></td><td><u>8</u></td><td><u>No</u></td><td><u>FACU</u></td></tr> <tr><td>5.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>6.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>7.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>8.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>9.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>10.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>11.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">100 =Total Cover</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <th>Woody Vine Stratum</th> <th>(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <td rowspan="3"> Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> </td> </tr> <tr><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2.</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"></td> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">=Total Cover</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u></td> <td colspan="4"></td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6">Remarks:</td> </tr> </table>			Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status		1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)	2.					3.					4.												=Total Cover				Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>2</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>6</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>28</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>112</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>350</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>468</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.68</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>2</u>	x 3 = <u>6</u>	FACU species <u>28</u>	x 4 = <u>112</u>	UPL species <u>70</u>	x 5 = <u>350</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>468</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.68</u>		1.					2.					3.					4.					5.							=Total Cover				Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	1.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>	2.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>	3.	<u>Cirsium arvense</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>	4.	<u>Cirsium vulgare</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>	5.					6.					7.					8.					9.					10.					11.							100 =Total Cover				Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>	1.					2.							=Total Cover				% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>						Remarks:					
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																																																																																																																																																																																														
1.					Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																																																																																																																																																																																													
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>2</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>6</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>28</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>112</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>70</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>350</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>468</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.68</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>2</u>	x 3 = <u>6</u>	FACU species <u>28</u>	x 4 = <u>112</u>	UPL species <u>70</u>	x 5 = <u>350</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>468</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.68</u>																																																																																																																																																																														
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																																																																																																																																																																																																	
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FAC species <u>2</u>	x 3 = <u>6</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
FACU species <u>28</u>	x 4 = <u>112</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
UPL species <u>70</u>	x 5 = <u>350</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>468</u> (B)																																																																																																																																																																																																	
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.68</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
3.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
4.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u> </u> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u> </u> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <u> </u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u> </u> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.	<u>Elymus smithii</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
2.	<u>Bromus inermis</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>UPL</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
3.	<u>Cirsium arvense</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
4.	<u>Cirsium vulgare</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																																																																																																																																																																																														
5.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
6.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
7.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
8.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
9.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
10.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
11.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		100 =Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																																																																																																																																																																																													
1.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
2.																																																																																																																																																																																																		
		=Total Cover																																																																																																																																																																																																
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																																																																																																																																																																																																		
Remarks:																																																																																																																																																																																																		

ENG FORM 6116-9, JUL 2018
Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast – Version 2.0

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP15

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	4A, and 4B)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks: No wetland hydrology			

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R		OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)																			
Project/Site: <u>BZN Airport EA</u>		City/County: <u>Gallatin</u>																			
Applicant/Owner: <u>Bozeman Airport</u>		State: <u>MT</u>																			
Investigator(s): <u>Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy</u>		Sampling Date: <u>10/16/23</u>																			
Section, Township, Range: <u>Section 5, Township 1S, Range 5E</u>		Sampling Point: <u>UDP16</u>																			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): <u>Terrace</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): <u>Flat</u>																			
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR E, MLRA 49</u>	Lat: <u>45.7784397499999</u>	Long: <u>-111.127859369999</u>																			
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>Enbar loam</u>	Datum: <u>NAD83</u>																				
NW1 classification: <u>Rp1SS</u>																					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> (If no, explain in Remarks.)																					
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																					
Are Vegetation <u> </u> , Soil <u> </u> , or Hydrology <u> </u> naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)																					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.																					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u> Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u> Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>		Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																			
Remarks: In low spot by creek, in a bend. No wetland hydrology or hydric soil indicators.																					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.																					
Tree Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>2</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>100.0%</u> (A/B)																
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
=Total Cover																					
Sapling/Shrub Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width:100%; font-size: small;"> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>55</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>110</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>45</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>135</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>245</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.45</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>55</u>	x 2 = <u>110</u>	FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>	FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>245</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.45</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																				
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																				
FACW species <u>55</u>	x 2 = <u>110</u>																				
FAC species <u>45</u>	x 3 = <u>135</u>																				
FACU species <u>0</u>	x 4 = <u>0</u>																				
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																				
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>245</u> (B)																				
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.45</u>																					
1.																					
2.																					
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
=Total Cover																					
Herb Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>X</u> <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u>5</u> - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
1.	<u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2.	<u>Cirsium arvense</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
3.																					
4.																					
5.																					
6.																					
7.																					
8.																					
9.																					
10.																					
11.																					
<u>100</u> =Total Cover																					
Woody Vine Stratum	(Plot size: <u>15 ft</u>)				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No <u> </u>																
1.																					
2.																					
=Total Cover																					
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>0</u>																					
Remarks:																					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP16

[illegible]

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☒ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): Water Table Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): Saturation Present? Yes ☐ No ☒ Depth (inches): (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ☐ No ☒	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks:			

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers				OMB Control #: 0710-0024, Exp: 11/30/2024 Requirement Control Symbol EXEMPT: (Authority: AR 335-15, paragraph 5-2a)	
WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA SHEET – Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast Region					
See ERDC/EL TR-10-3; the proponent agency is CECW-CO-R					
Project/Site: BZN Airport EA		City/County: Gallatin		Sampling Date: 10/16/23	
Applicant/Owner: Bozeman Airport		State: MT		Sampling Point: UDP17	
Investigator(s): Faith Doty, Christine Pearcy		Section, Township, Range: Section 17, Township 1S, Range 5E			
Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace		Local relief (concave, convex, none): None		Slope (%): Flat	
Subregion (LRR): LRR E, MLRA 49		Lat: 45.75295442		Long: -111.131893629999	
				Datum: NAD83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Enbar loam		NW1 classification: None			
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X			Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes No X		
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No X					
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No X					
Remarks: West of Hyalite Creek, in riparian edge.					
VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.					
Tree Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)		Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 4 (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 25.0% (A/B)
1. Betula occidentalis		10	Yes	FACW	
2.					
3.					
4.					
		10 =Total Cover			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					Prevalence Index worksheet: Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species 0 x 1 = 0 FACW species 10 x 2 = 20 FAC species 0 x 3 = 0 FACU species 50 x 4 = 200 UPL species 40 x 5 = 200 Column Totals: 100 (A) 420 (B) Prevalence Index = B/A = 4.20
1. Rosa woodsii		30	Yes	FACU	
2. Symphoricarpos albus		20	Yes	FACU	
3.					
4.					
		50 =Total Cover			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation 2 - Dominance Test is >50% 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) 5 - Wetland Non-Vascular Plants ¹ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
1. Bromus inermis		40	Yes	UPL	
2.					
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
		40 =Total Cover			Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15 ft)					
1.					
2.					
		=Total Cover			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 0					
Remarks:					

SOIL

Sampling Point: UDP17

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)								
Depth (inches)	Matrix		Redox Features				Texture	Remarks
	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²		
0-4	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, granular, fine roots
4-14	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	loam, fine and medium roots
14-16	10YR 3/2	100					Loamy/Clayey	few roots

¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains. ²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)			Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :	
☐ Histosol (A1)	☐ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR A, E)		
☐ Histic Epipedon (A2)	☐ Sandy Redox (S5)	☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR D)		
☐ Black Histic (A3)	☐ Stripped Matrix (S6)	☐ Red Parent Material (F21)		
☐ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (except MLRA 1)	☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)		
☐ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D, G)	☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)		
☐ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	☐ Depleted Matrix (F3)			
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)			
☐ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			
☐ 2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2) (LRR G)	☐ Redox Depressions (F8)			

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed): Type: _____ Depth (inches): _____	Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Remarks:
Granular loam throughout. No hydric soil indicators

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)	
☐ Surface Water (A1)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (except MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) (MLRA 1, 2, 4A, and 4B)	
☐ High Water Table (A2)	☐ Salt Crust (B11)	☐ Drainage Patterns (B10)	
☐ Saturation (A3)	☐ Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	☐ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
☐ Water Marks (B1)	☐ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	☐ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
☐ Sediment Deposits (B2)	☐ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	☐ Geomorphic Position (D2)	
☐ Drift Deposits (B3)	☐ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	☐ Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
☐ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	☐ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	☐ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
☐ Iron Deposits (B5)	☐ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) (LRR A)	☐ Raised Ant Mounds (D6) (LRR A)	
☐ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)	☐ Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7)	
☐ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)			
☐ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			

Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
---	---

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
No wetland hydrology

APPENDIX C: SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

A photograph of a wetland area. In the foreground, there is a dense field of tall grasses, some green and some yellowed. A small stream or pond is visible on the right side, partially obscured by the grass. In the background, there are more trees and a cloudy sky. A white box with black text is overlaid on the upper part of the image.

Wetland A
A1, A2, A3, & A4, along Hyalite Creek



Photo 1: Representative view of Wetland A, along Hyalite Creek.



Photo 2: Representative view of upland area bordering Wetland A.

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation
Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\ymmi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation



Photo 3: Representative view of Wetland A1 (WDP2), along Hyalite Creek.



Photo 4: Representative view of upland vegetation and soils bordering Wetland A1 (UDP5).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\mml\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation



Photos 5 and 6: Representative views of wetland vegetation and hydric soils at Wetland A2, along Hyalite Creek (WDP1).



Photo 7: Representative view of upland vegetation at Wetland A4 (UDP18).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\ymmi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland
delineation

A photograph of a wetland area with a narrow stream flowing through tall, green and yellow grasses. In the background, there are mountains and a clear blue sky. A white text box is overlaid on the image.

Wetland B
B1, B2, B3, & B4, along Dry Creek



Photo 8: Representative view of Wetland B, bordering Dry Creek.



Photo 9: Representative view of wetland vegetation at Wetland B2 (WDP5).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation
Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\ymmi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation



Photos 10 and 11: Representative views of upland (left) and wetland (right) soils at Wetland B2 (UDP8, WDP5).



Photo 12: Representative view of Wetland B4, in flooded area (WDP4).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\mimi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation



Photo 8: Representative view of problematic **wetland** vegetation at Wetland B4, note slight vegetation shift in upper right corner (WDP10).



Photo 9: Representative view of hydric soils at Wetland B4, note redox concentrations (WDP10).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\ymmi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation



Photo 10: Representative view of problematic **upland** vegetation near Wetland B4 (UDP12).



Photo 11: Representative view of flooded area at Wetland B4 at neighboring field (WDP10).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\mml\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation



Photo 12: View of upland, vegetated, stormwater swale near Wetland B2.

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation
Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\mml\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation

Wetland C
C1, C2, & C3, along Spain Ferris Fork Ditch



Photos 13 and 14: Representative view of Wetland C1 (left) (WDP12) and adjacent upland area (right) (UDP13).



Photos 15 and 16: Representative view of Wetland C2 (left) (WDP13) and adjacent upland area (right) (UDP14).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\ymmi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation




Photo 17: Representative view of Wetland C3, a wetland fringe of Spain Ferris Fork Ditch.

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation
Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\ymmi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation

A photograph of a wetland area. In the foreground, there is a narrow stream flowing through dense, tall green grasses. The grasses are some green and some yellowed. In the background, there is a large, flat field of tall, dry, yellow grasses. Beyond the field, there are dark, rolling mountains under a cloudy sky. A small red and white building is visible on the left side of the field.

Wetland D

Fringe along Spain Ferris Fork Ditch/Dry Creek



Photo 18: Representative view of Wetland D, fringing Spain Ferris Fork Ditch/Dry Creek (WDP15).



Photo 19: Representative view of **upland** area adjacent to Wetland D (UDP19).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation
Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\mml\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation

Wetland E
Fringe of Mammoth Ditch



Photo 20: Representative view of Wetland E, fringing Mammoth Ditch (WDP16).



Photo 21: Representative view of **upland** area adjacent to Wetland E (UDP20).

BZN Airport Wetland Delineation
Belgrade, Montana

Photo Date: October 2023

\\ymmi\Share\Bozeman\Projects\0761\156 - EA N Side & Roads\04 Design\Reports\BZN EA Wetland delineation

APPENDIX D: NRCS SOIL REPORT & MAPS



United States
Department of
Agriculture


NRCS

Natural
Resources
Conservation
Service

A product of the National
Cooperative Soil Survey,
a joint effort of the United
States Department of
Agriculture and other
Federal agencies, State
agencies including the
Agricultural Experiment
Stations, and local
participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for **Gallatin County Area, Montana**

BZN Wetland Delineation 2023-24



January 11, 2024

Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (<http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/>) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (<https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs>) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/?cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map.....	9
Legend.....	10
Map Unit Legend.....	11
Map Unit Descriptions.....	11
Gallatin County Area, Montana.....	14
33B—Attewan clay loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes.....	14
41A—Beaverell loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes.....	15
43A—Beavwan loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes.....	16
57B—Turner loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes.....	17
64B—Straw loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes.....	19
241A—Beaverell cobbly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes.....	20
307A—Sudworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes.....	22
509B—Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes.....	23
511A—Fairway silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes.....	24
741A—Beaverell-Beavwan complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes.....	26
Soil Information for All Uses	29
Suitabilities and Limitations for Use.....	29
Land Classifications.....	29
Hydric Rating by Map Unit (BZN Wetland Delineation 2023-24).....	29
References	34

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and


Custom Soil Resource Report

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



Custom Soil Resource Report

MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines

 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features

 Blowout

 Borrow Pit

 Clay Spot

 Closed Depression

 Gravel Pit

 Gravelly Spot

 Landfill

 Lava Flow

 Marsh or swamp

 Mine or Quarry

 Miscellaneous Water

 Perennial Water

 Rock Outcrop

 Saline Spot

 Sandy Spot

 Severely Eroded Spot

 Sinkhole

 Slide or Slip

 Sodic Spot

 Spoil Area

 Stony Spot

 Very Stony Spot

 Wet Spot

 Other

 Special Line Features

Water Features

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

 Rails

 Interstate Highways

 US Routes

 Major Roads

 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Gallatin County Area, Montana

Survey Area Data: Version 27, Aug 25, 2023

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 18, 2022—Aug 29, 2022

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
33B	Attewan clay loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	159.4	10.4%
41A	Beaverell loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	101.2	6.6%
43A	Beavwan loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	35.6	2.3%
57B	Turner loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	1.2	0.1%
64B	Straw loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	21.4	1.4%
241A	Beaverell cobbly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	324.7	21.2%
307A	Sudworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	181.2	11.8%
509B	Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	32.4	2.1%
511A	Fairway silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	1.6	0.1%
741A	Beaverell-Beavwan complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	674.4	44.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		1,533.1	100.0%

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties

and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Gallatin County Area, Montana

33B—Attewan clay loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56q2
Elevation: 4,150 to 4,650 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 14 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F
Frost-free period: 95 to 115 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Attewan and similar soils: 90 percent
Minor components: 10 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Attewan

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 6 inches: clay loam
Bt - 6 to 12 inches: clay loam
Bk - 12 to 26 inches: gravelly loam
2C - 26 to 60 inches: very gravelly loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 4 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.6 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R044BA001MT - Clayey (Cy) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Beavwan

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces

Custom Soil Resource Report

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A

Hydric soil rating: No

Beaverell

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A

Hydric soil rating: No

41A—Beaverell loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56s2

Elevation: 4,200 to 4,650 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 14 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F

Frost-free period: 95 to 115 days

Farmland classification: Farmland of local importance

Map Unit Composition

Beaverell and similar soils: 90 percent

Minor components: 10 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Beaverell

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 7 inches: loam

B - 7 to 20 inches: very cobbly clay loam

2Bk1 - 20 to 24 inches: extremely cobbly coarse sandy loam

2Bk2 - 24 to 60 inches: extremely cobbly loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Custom Soil Resource Report

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4s

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Beaverell

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A

Hydric soil rating: No

Attewan

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A

Hydric soil rating: No

43A—Beavwan loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56sh

Elevation: 4,350 to 4,650 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 14 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F

Frost-free period: 95 to 115 days

Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Beavwan and similar soils: 85 percent

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Beavwan

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium

Custom Soil Resource Report

Typical profile

A - 0 to 5 inches: loam
Bt1 - 5 to 15 inches: clay loam
2Bt2 - 15 to 22 inches: extremely cobbly sandy clay loam
2Bk1 - 22 to 28 inches: extremely cobbly sandy loam
2Bk2 - 28 to 60 inches: very gravelly coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Beaverell

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

Attewan

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

57B—Turner loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56xd
Elevation: 4,350 to 5,400 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches

Custom Soil Resource Report

Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 45 degrees F
Frost-free period: 90 to 110 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Turner and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Turner

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 6 inches: loam
Bt - 6 to 12 inches: clay loam
Bk - 12 to 26 inches: clay loam
2C - 26 to 60 inches: very gravelly loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 4 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 5.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: R044BB032MT - Loamy (Lo) LRU 01 Subset B
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Corbly

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Alluvial fans, stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BP818MT - Upland Grassland
Hydric soil rating: No

Martinsdale

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Alluvial fans, stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear

Custom Soil Resource Report

Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BB032MT - Loamy (Lo) LRU 01 Subset B
Hydric soil rating: No

Beaverton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Alluvial fans, stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BP818MT - Upland Grassland
Hydric soil rating: No

64B—Straw loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56yc
Elevation: 4,350 to 6,150 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F
Frost-free period: 90 to 110 days
Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Straw and similar soils: 90 percent
Minor components: 10 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Straw

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Loamy alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 18 inches: loam
Bk - 18 to 60 inches: loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 4 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 10.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R044BB032MT - Loamy (Lo) LRU 01 Subset B

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Enbar

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Flood plains

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BP815MT - Subirrigated Grassland

Hydric soil rating: No

Sudworth

Percent of map unit: 3 percent

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BB032MT - Loamy (Lo) LRU 01 Subset B

Hydric soil rating: No

Straw

Percent of map unit: 2 percent

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BP819MT - Upland Sagebrush Shrubland

Hydric soil rating: No

241A—Beaverell cobbly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56mx

Elevation: 4,250 to 4,650 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 14 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F

Frost-free period: 95 to 115 days

Farmland classification: Farmland of local importance

Map Unit Composition

Beaverell and similar soils: 85 percent

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Beaverell

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 7 inches: cobbly loam
B - 7 to 20 inches: very cobbly clay loam
2Bk1 - 20 to 24 inches: extremely cobbly coarse sandy loam
2Bk2 - 24 to 60 inches: extremely cobbly loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 6e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s
Hydrologic Soil Group: B
Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: Unranked

Minor Components

Attewan

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

Beaverell

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R046XC507MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) RRU 46-C 13-19 PZ
Hydric soil rating: No

Scravo

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear

Custom Soil Resource Report

Ecological site: R044BA038MT - Droughty Steep (DrStp) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

307A—Sudworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56pj
Elevation: 4,400 to 4,650 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F
Frost-free period: 90 to 110 days
Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Sudworth and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Sudworth

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A1 - 0 to 7 inches: silty clay loam
A2 - 7 to 24 inches: loam
Bk - 24 to 29 inches: loam
2C - 29 to 60 inches: extremely gravelly sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 48 to 96 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 7.0 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R043BP818MT - Upland Grassland Group
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Enbar

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Flood plains
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BP815MT - Subirrigated Grassland
Hydric soil rating: No

Turner

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BB032MT - Loamy (Lo) LRU 01 Subset B
Hydric soil rating: No

Nesda

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Flood plains
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BP818MT - Upland Grassland
Hydric soil rating: No

509B—Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56vp
Elevation: 4,400 to 6,000 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 15 to 19 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F
Frost-free period: 90 to 110 days
Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Enbar and similar soils: 85 percent
Minor components: 15 percent
Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Enbar

Setting

Landform: Flood plains
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Loamy alluvium

Custom Soil Resource Report

Typical profile

A - 0 to 22 inches: loam
Cg - 22 to 49 inches: sandy loam
2C - 49 to 60 inches: very gravelly loamy sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 4 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 24 to 42 inches
Frequency of flooding: Rare
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.8 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3w
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3w
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R044BP815MT - Subirrigated Grassland
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Nythar

Percent of map unit: 10 percent
Landform: Flood plains
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BP815MT - Subirrigated Grassland
Hydric soil rating: Yes

Straw

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BB032MT - Loamy (Lo) LRU 01 Subset B
Hydric soil rating: No

511A—Fairway silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 56vv
Elevation: 4,100 to 4,950 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 12 to 18 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 45 degrees F

Custom Soil Resource Report

Frost-free period: 90 to 110 days

Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Fairway and similar soils: 85 percent

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Fairway

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Loamy alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 15 inches: silt loam

Cg - 15 to 46 inches: silt loam

2Cg - 46 to 60 inches: sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 24 to 42 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 3.0 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e

Hydrologic Soil Group: C

Ecological site: R044BP815MT - Subirrigated Grassland

Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Blossberg

Percent of map unit: 10 percent

Landform: Terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BP815MT - Subirrigated Grassland

Hydric soil rating: Yes

Meadowcreek

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Ecological site: R044BP815MT - Subirrigated Grassland

Hydric soil rating: No

741A—Beaverell-Beavwan complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 570q

Elevation: 4,100 to 5,000 feet

Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 14 inches

Mean annual air temperature: 37 to 45 degrees F

Frost-free period: 95 to 115 days

Farmland classification: Farmland of local importance

Map Unit Composition

Beaverell and similar soils: 55 percent

Beavwan and similar soils: 30 percent

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Beaverell

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces

Down-slope shape: Linear

Across-slope shape: Linear

Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 7 inches: cobbly loam

B - 7 to 20 inches: very cobbly clay loam

2Bk1 - 20 to 24 inches: extremely cobbly coarse sandy loam

2Bk2 - 24 to 60 inches: extremely cobbly loamy coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent

Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches

Drainage class: Well drained

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high
(0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)

Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

Frequency of flooding: None

Frequency of ponding: None

Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 15 percent

Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)

Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 3.2 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 6e

Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6s

Hydrologic Soil Group: B

Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A

Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Beavwan

Setting

Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

A - 0 to 5 inches: loam
Bt1 - 5 to 15 inches: clay loam
2Bt2 - 15 to 22 inches: extremely cobbly sandy clay loam
2Bk1 - 22 to 28 inches: extremely cobbly sandy loam
2Bk2 - 28 to 60 inches: very gravelly coarse sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Low (about 4.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e
Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e
Hydrologic Soil Group: C
Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Beaverell

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R046XC507MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) RRU 46-C 13-19 PZ
Hydric soil rating: No

Attewan

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear
Ecological site: R044BA001MT - Clayey (Cy) LRU 01 Subset A
Hydric soil rating: No

Beaverell, channeled

Percent of map unit: 5 percent
Landform: Stream terraces
Down-slope shape: Linear
Across-slope shape: Linear

Custom Soil Resource Report

Ecological site: R044BA134MT - Shallow to Gravel (SwGr) LRU 01 Subset A

Hydric soil rating: No

Soil Information for All Uses

Suitabilities and Limitations for Use

The Suitabilities and Limitations for Use section includes various soil interpretations displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each interpretation.

Land Classifications

Land Classifications are specified land use and management groupings that are assigned to soil areas because combinations of soil have similar behavior for specified practices. Most are based on soil properties and other factors that directly influence the specific use of the soil. Example classifications include ecological site classification, farmland classification, irrigated and nonirrigated land capability classification, and hydric rating.

Hydric Rating by Map Unit (BZN Wetland Delineation 2023-24)

This rating indicates the percentage of map units that meets the criteria for hydric soils. Map units are composed of one or more map unit components or soil types, each of which is rated as hydric soil or not hydric. Map units that are made up dominantly of hydric soils may have small areas of minor nonhydric components in the higher positions on the landform, and map units that are made up dominantly of nonhydric soils may have small areas of minor hydric components in the lower positions on the landform. Each map unit is rated based on its respective components and the percentage of each component within the map unit.

The thematic map is color coded based on the composition of hydric components. The five color classes are separated as 100 percent hydric components, 66 to 99 percent hydric components, 33 to 65 percent hydric components, 1 to 32 percent hydric components, and less than one percent hydric components.

Custom Soil Resource Report

In Web Soil Survey, the Summary by Map Unit table that is displayed below the map pane contains a column named 'Rating'. In this column the percentage of each map unit that is classified as hydric is displayed.

Hydric soils are defined by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) as soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (Federal Register, 1994). Under natural conditions, these soils are either saturated or inundated long enough during the growing season to support the growth and reproduction of hydrophytic vegetation.

The NTCHS definition identifies general soil properties that are associated with wetness. In order to determine whether a specific soil is a hydric soil or nonhydric soil, however, more specific information, such as information about the depth and duration of the water table, is needed. Thus, criteria that identify those estimated soil properties unique to hydric soils have been established (Federal Register, 2002). These criteria are used to identify map unit components that normally are associated with wetlands. The criteria used are selected estimated soil properties that are described in "Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 1999) and "Keys to Soil Taxonomy" (Soil Survey Staff, 2006) and in the "Soil Survey Manual" (Soil Survey Division Staff, 1993).

If soils are wet enough for a long enough period of time to be considered hydric, they should exhibit certain properties that can be easily observed in the field. These visible properties are indicators of hydric soils. The indicators used to make onsite determinations of hydric soils are specified in "Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States" (Hurt and Vasilas, 2006).

References:

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.


Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18.

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436.

Soil Survey Staff. 2006. Keys to soil taxonomy. 10th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Custom Soil Resource Report

Map—Hydric Rating by Map Unit (BZN Wetland Delineation 2023-24)



MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

Soil Rating Polygons

 Hydric (100%)
 Hydric (66 to 99%)
 Hydric (33 to 65%)
 Hydric (1 to 32%)
 Not Hydric (0%)
 Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Lines

 Hydric (100%)
 Hydric (66 to 99%)
 Hydric (33 to 65%)
 Hydric (1 to 32%)
 Not Hydric (0%)
 Not rated or not available

Soil Rating Points

 Hydric (100%)
 Hydric (66 to 99%)
 Hydric (33 to 65%)
 Hydric (1 to 32%)
 Not Hydric (0%)
 Not rated or not available

Water Features

 Streams and Canals

Transportation

 Rails
 Interstate Highways
 US Routes
 Major Roads
 Local Roads

Background

 Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
 Web Soil Survey URL:
 Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Gallatin County Area, Montana
 Survey Area Data: Version 27, Aug 25, 2023

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Aug 18, 2022—Aug 29, 2022

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Table—Hydric Rating by Map Unit (BZN Wetland Delineation 2023-24)

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
33B	Attewan clay loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0	159.4	10.4%
41A	Beaverell loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	101.2	6.6%
43A	Beavwan loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	35.6	2.3%
57B	Turner loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0	1.2	0.1%
64B	Straw loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0	21.4	1.4%
241A	Beaverell cobbly loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	324.7	21.2%
307A	Sudworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	181.2	11.8%
509B	Enbar loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	10	32.4	2.1%
511A	Fairway silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	10	1.6	0.1%
741A	Beaverell-Beavwan complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0	674.4	44.0%
Totals for Area of Interest			1,533.1	100.0%

Rating Options—Hydric Rating by Map Unit (BZN Wetland Delineation 2023-24)*Aggregation Method: Percent Present**Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified**Tie-break Rule: Lower*

References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.
- Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.
- Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.
- Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.
- National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.
- Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262
- Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577
- Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580
- Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.
- United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2_053374
- United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. <http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelpdb1043084>

Custom Soil Resource Report

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf